

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF
WISCONSIN

**Kimberly Janick v. Frank Bisignano, Commissioner of Social
Security**

Janick · No. 19-cv-601-wmc · Decided May 8, 2026

OPINION

Kimberly Janick v. Frank Bisignano, Commissioner of Social Security

PER CURIAM.

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

KIMBERLY JANICK,

Plaintiff, ORDER v. 19-cv-601-wmc

FRANK BISIGNANO,

Commissioner of Social Security,¹ Defendant. On December 16, 2019, the court issued an order reversing and remanding this case to the commissioner for further proceedings under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Now before the court is plaintiff Kimberly Janick’s attorney’s petition for fees pursuant to § 406(b) in the net amount of \$7,880.25. (Dkt. #22.) As part of its judgment, a court may allow “a reasonable fee . . . not in excess of 25 percent of the . . . past-due benefits” awarded to the claimant. § 406(b)(1)(A). The fee is payable “out of, and not in addition to, the amount of [the] past-due benefits.” *Id.* Counsel asks the court to approve an attorney fee award in the net amount of \$7,880.25, which represents the difference between 25% of the past-due benefits and auxiliary benefits awarded to plaintiff, totaling \$13,680.25 and the prior Equal Access to Justice Act (“EAJA”) fee award of \$5,800.00 (see dkt. #21). Defendant does not take a position on the reasonableness of the requested fee or on counsel’s request that counsel retain the EAJA award and receive the net award from past-due benefits. (Dkt. #25.) Having considered the supporting materials filed by plaintiff’s attorney, and hearing no objection from plaintiff or defendant, the court will grant the motion. The \$13,680.25 in 1 The court has amended the caption to reflect Bisignano’s appointment as Commissioner. See Fed. R. spent on this case and the favorable result he obtained for plaintiff while working on a contingency basis. Of course, counsel is not allowed to recover both awards. Section 406(b) has been harmonized with the EAJA; therefore, even though fee awards may be made under both the EAJA and § 406(b), a claimant’s attorney must refund to the claimant the amount of the smaller fee. *Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 796 (2002) (“[A]n EAJA award offsets an award under Section 406(b).”). In accordance with the court’s past practice, however, the court will allow counsel to retain the EAJA award, and the court will subtract the EAJA award from the amount awarded in this order.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that: 1) Counsel's motion for attorney fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1) (dkt. #22) is GRANTED. 2) The court approves representative fees under § 406(b) in the gross amount of \$13,680.25. 3) Counsel may retain the \$5,800.00 previously awarded in EAJA fees in partial satisfaction of the § 406(b) award. 4) The net amount of \$7,880.25 shall be disbursed by the Commissioner from any of plaintiff's past-due benefits being withheld and in accordance with agency policy. Entered this 8th day of May, 2026. BY THE COURT: /s/

WILLIAM M. CONLEY
District Judge