

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Alonzo McKinney v. J. Walker

No. 2:25-cv-2842 CKD P (E.D. Cal. Oct. 6, 2025) · No. 2:25-cv-2842 CKD P · Decided October 7, 2025

OPINION

Alonzo McKinney v. J. Walker

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 ALONZO MCKINNEY, No. 2:25-cv-2842 CKD P

12 Petitioner, 13 v. ORDER

14 J. WALKER,

15 Respondent. 16

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas 18
corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner is presently incarcerated at R.J. Donovan 19
Correctional Facility in San Diego County. He is serving a sentence for a conviction from the 20
Los Angeles County Superior Court. The general rule regarding habeas applications is that both 21
the United States District Court in the district where petitioner was convicted and the District 22
Court where petitioner is incarcerated have jurisdiction over the claims. See Braden v. 30th 23
Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484 (1973). Because any witnesses and evidence necessary for 24
the resolution of petitioner's application are more readily available in Los Angeles County, id. at
25 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d), this action will be transferred to the United States District Court
26 for the Central District of California. 27 ///// 28 /////] Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is 2 || transferred to the United States District Court
for the Central District of California. 3 | Dated: October 6, 2025 Card ft 4 by ae

4 CAROLYNK. DELANEY SOS

5 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6 7 8 | inuy 9 mcki2842.108a 10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28