

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Barber v. District of Columbia Government

Barber · No. Civil Action No. 2017-0620; Case No. 17-cv-620 (JMC) · Decided December 31, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Columbia partially grants and partially denies defendants' motion for summary judgment in Claudia A. Barber's claims against the District of Columbia Government and Eugene Adams. The court allows certain DCHRA and Title VII discrimination and retaliation claims concerning failure to appoint Barber to PALJ and acting PALJ positions before February 2016 to proceed, while granting summary judgment on the remaining claims and on the D.C. Whistleblower Protection Act claim. The court schedules a status hearing for January 27 at 2:30 p.m. to provide its reasoning and set a trial date.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CLAUDIA A. BARBER, Plaintiff, Case No. 17-cv-620 (JMC) v. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA GOVERNMENT, EUGENE ADAMS, Defendants. ORDER For the reasons that the Court will provide on the record at an upcoming hearing, it is hereby ORDERED that Defendants' motion for summary judgment, ECF 62, is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part as follows: For Count I, Discrimination in violation of the District of Columbia Human Rights Act (DCHRA) against Defendants District of Columbia and Eugene Adams, the motion is DENIED with respect to Plaintiff's claims regarding her failure to be appointed to PALJ and acting PALJ positions before February 2016 and GRANTED with respect to Plaintiff's remaining claims.

For Count II, Retaliation in violation of the DCHRA against the District and Adams, the motion is DENIED with respect to Plaintiff's claims regarding her failure to be appointed to PALJ and acting PALJ positions before February 2016 and GRANTED with respect to Plaintiff's remaining claims.

For Count III, Discrimination in violation of Title VII against the District, the motion is DENIED with respect to Plaintiff's claims regarding her failure to be appointed to PALJ and acting PALJ positions before February 2016 and GRANTED with respect to Plaintiff's remaining claims. 1 For Count IV, Retaliation in violation of Title VII against the District, the motion is DENIED with respect to Plaintiff's claims regarding her failure to be appointed to PALJ and acting PALJ positions before February 2016 and GRANTED with respect to Plaintiff's remaining claims.

For Count V, Retaliation in violation of the D.C. Whistleblower Protection Act against the District and Adams, the motion is GRANTED. It is further ORDERED that the Parties shall appear for a status hearing for the Court to provide its reasoning on the record and set a date for trial, on January 27, at 2:30 PM.

The conference will be on the record before Judge Jia M. Cobb and conducted via Zoom. The Court's Deputy Clerk will provide the information necessary to access the conference. SO ORDERED. _____ JIA M. COBB United States District Judge Date: December 31, 2025 2