

SUPREME COURT OF OKLAHOMA

Frazier v. Bruce

2021 OK 14 · No. 119251 · Decided March 29, 2021

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

FRAZIER v. BRUCE Skip to Main Content Accessibility Statement Help Contact Us e-payments Careers Home Courts Decisions Programs News Legal Research Court Records Quick Links OSCN Found Document:FRAZIER v. BRUCE Previous Case Top Of Index This Point in Index Citationize Next Case Print Only FRAZIER v. BRUCE2021 OK 14Case Number: 119251Decided: 03/29/2021THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA Cite as: 2021 OK 14, __ P.3d __ NOTICE: THIS OPINION HAS NOT BEEN RELEASED FOR PUBLICATION.

UNTIL RELEASED, IT IS SUBJECT TO REVISION OR WITHDRAWAL. MIA FRAZIER, Petitioner, v. THE HONORABLE TAMMY BRUCE, THE HONORABLE WILLIAM MUSSEMAN, District Court for the Fourteenth Judicial District, Respondents, and TRAVIS BENAVIDEZ, Real Party in Interest. ORDER ¶1 Petitioner Mia Frazier sought to disqualify Tulsa County District Court Judge Tammy Bruce after Judge Bruce granted a continuance to a hearing on Ms. Frazier's motion to enforce visitation.

Ms. Frazier's motion to enforce visitation almost immediately followed Real Party in Interest Travis Benavidez's motion to suspend visitation--which raised serious allegations about Ms. Frazier's behavior with the child. Two days before the hearing, which the district court timely set for Ms. Frazier's motion to enforce visitation, Mr. Benavidez requested a continuance, alleging that Ms. Frazier refused to cooperate with the guardian ad litem for an interview about Mr. Benavidez's allegations.

The district court granted Mr. Benavidez's request for a continuance, setting the hearing outside of the 21-day deadline of 43 O.S. Supp. 2014, § 111.3(B), but inside § 111.3(F)'s 45-day decision deadline. Well before the date of the reset hearing, Ms. Frazier sought Judge Bruce's disqualification under Rule 15 of the Rules for District Courts of Oklahoma, arguing the continuance was unauthorized by law and established the appearance of impropriety. ¶2 Ms. Frazier's basis for the disqualification was completely insufficient.

A mere mistake in a court's legal ruling is not grounds for disqualification. *Oklahoma Co. v. O'Neil*, 1968 OK 63, ¶ 46, 440 P.2d 978, 989. A filing seeking to invoke this Court's original

jurisdiction to disqualify a judge where the sole basis for disqualification has been routinely rejected by this Court amounts to a frivolous filing. Okla. Supreme Court Rules 1.191(j), 12 O.S.

Supp. 2013, app. 1. Additionally, the Court will not ignore the behavior of the parties in the proceedings that led to a party invoking Rule 15 and seeking the judge's disqualification. Nor will it ignore a party's prior sanctioned-conduct in the underlying litigation. ¶3 The Court also notes that instead of seeking to file an application to assume original jurisdiction and a petition for a writ of mandamus to direct Judge Bruce to hold the hearing, Ms. Frazier instead invoked Rule 15, bringing all proceedings to a halt.

Clark v. Board of Education of Ind. Sch. Dist. No. 89, 2001 OK 56, ¶ 7, 32 P.3d 851, 855. Ms. Frazier's pursuit of disqualification only further delayed the hearing on her motion to enforce visitation as all district court proceedings were halted until the Rule 15 process ended. Had Ms. Frazier sought to require Judge Bruce to hold a hearing, she could have sought a writ of mandamus to direct Judge Bruce to hold the hearing on her motion to enforce visitation within 21 days of filing the motion per 43 O.S., § 111.3(B).

Instead she abandoned her quest for a hearing, and sought a frivolous judicial disqualification. Ms. Frazier's decision to pursue disqualification also shows an intention to disrupt or delay lower court proceedings instead of timely resolving her motion to enforce visitation. ¶4 As Ms. Frazier's filing was both frivolous and filed to delay or disrupt proceedings, Real Party in Interest Travis Benavidez's Motion for Attorney Fees is granted.

Okla. Supreme Court Rule 1.191(j), 12 O.S. Supp. 2013, app.1. The Tulsa County District Court is authorized to hold an evidentiary hearing to determine a reasonable attorney fee to award to Real Party in Interest Travis Benavidez as a sanction against Petitioner Mia Frazier. DONE BY ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT IN CONFERENCE THIS 29th DAY OF MARCH, 2021. /S/CHIEF JUSTICE CONCUR: Darby, C.J., Kane, V.C.J., Winchester, Edmondson, and Combs, JJ. CONCUR IN RESULT: Gurich, J. DISSENT: Kauger and Rowe, JJ. Citationizer© Summary of Documents Citing This Document Cite Name Level None Found.

Citationizer: Table of Authority Cite Name Level Oklahoma Supreme Court Cases CiteNameLevel 2001 OK 56, 32 P.3d 851, 72 OBJ 1953, CLARK v. BOARD OF EDUCATION OF IND. SCH. DIST. NO. 89Discussed 1968 OK 63, 440 P.2d 978, OKLAHOMA COMPANY v. O'NEILDiscussed Title 43. Marriage CiteNameLevel 43 O.S. 111.3, Duty to Facilitate Visitation Rights of Noncustodial Parent - Motion for Enforcement - OrderDiscussed oscn EMAIL: webmaster@oscn.net Oklahoma Judicial Center 2100 N Lincoln Blvd.

Oklahoma City, OK 73105 courts Supreme Court of Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals Court of Civil Appeals District Courts decisions New Decisions Supreme Court of Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals Court of Civil Appeals programs The Sovereignty Symposium Alternative

Dispute Resolution Early Settlement Mediation Children's Court Improvement Program (CIP)
Judicial Nominating Commission Certified Courtroom Interpreters Certified Shorthand Reporters
Accessibility ADA Contact Us Careers Accessibility ADA

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/oklahoma-supreme-court-of-oklahoma/2021/frazier-v-bruce-09rktveo>