

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT**

People v. Walters

2020 NY Slip Op 05538 (App. Div. 2020) · No. 2018-11585; 2018-11586; 2018-11588 · Decided October 7,
2020

SUMMARY

The Appellate Division, Second Department affirmed three judgments convicting John Walters, upon his guilty pleas, of offenses involving criminal possession or attempted possession of forged instruments. The court granted assigned counsel's motion to withdraw under *Anders v. California* after independently determining that no nonfrivolous appellate issues existed.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

People v Walters (2020 NY Slip Op 05538) People v Walters 2020 NY Slip Op 05538 Decided on October 7, 2020 Appellate Division, Second Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. This opinion is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. Decided on October 7, 2020 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department WILLIAM F. MASTRO, J.P.

JOHN M. LEVENTHAL ROBERT J. MILLER COLLEEN D. DUFFY HECTOR D. LASALLE, JJ. 2018-11585 2018-11586 2018-11588 [*1]The People of the State of New York, respondent, vJohn Walters, appellant. (Ind. Nos. 2418/17, 2540/18; S.C.I. No. 5666/18) Paul Skip Laisure, New York, NY (Lynn W. L. Fahey of counsel), for appellant. Eric Gonzalez, District Attorney, Brooklyn, NY (Leonard Joblove and Jodi L. Mandel of counsel), for respondent.

DECISION & ORDER Appeals by the defendant from three judgments of the Supreme Court, Kings County (Suzanne Mondo, J., at pleas; William Miller, J., at sentences), all rendered August 16, 2018, convicting him of criminal possession of a forged instrument in the third degree under Indictment No. 2418/17, attempted criminal possession of a forged instrument in the second degree under Indictment No. 2540/18, and criminal possession of a forged instrument in the third degree under Superior Court Information No. 5666/18, upon his pleas of guilty, and imposing sentences.

Assigned counsel has submitted a brief in accordance with *Anders v California* (386 US 738), in which he moves for leave to withdraw as counsel for the appellant. ORDERED that the judgments

are affirmed. We are satisfied with the sufficiency of the brief filed by the defendant's assigned counsel pursuant to *Anders v California* (386 US 738), and, upon an independent review of the record, we conclude that there are no nonfrivolous issues which could be raised on appeal.

Counsel's application for leave to withdraw as counsel is, therefore, granted (see *id.*; *Matter of Giovanni S. [Jasmin A.]*, 89 AD3d 252; *People v Bonner*, 73 AD3d 799; *People v Montalvo*, 28 AD3d 793; *People v Robinson*, 303 AD2d 693; cf. *People v Gonzalez*, 47 NY2d 606). MASTRO, J.P., LEVENTHAL, MILLER, DUFFY and LASALLE, JJ., concur. ENTER: Aprilanne Agostino Clerk of the Court