

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Angel Martinez Echeverria v. James Janecka et al.

Echeverria v. Janecka · No. 5:25-cv-03070-MRA-DTB · Decided November 21, 2025

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES — GENERAL Case No. 5:25-cv-03070-MRA-DTB Date November 21, 2025 Title Angel Martinez Echeverria v. James Janecka et al. Present: The Honorable MONICA RAMIREZ ALMADANT, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Melissa H. Kunig None Present Deputy Clerk Court Reporter Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: Attorneys Present for Defendants: None Present None Present Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE The Court is in receipt of the Respondents’ Request to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction, ECF 7, and Notice of Errata, ECF 8, and Petitioner’s Reply in Support of Ex Parte Application for Restraining Order, ECF 9.

Petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order indicate that Petitioner is currently in custody at the Adelanto Detention Center, Adelanto, California, which is located in the Central District of California. Respondents, however, represent, based on information provided by the Immigration and Customs Enforcement website, that since September 17, 2025, Petitioner has been in custody at the Golden State Annex, which is located in McFarland, California, in the Eastern District of California.

ECF 8-1 at 2. Respondents argue that this Court therefore lacks jurisdiction over Petitioner’s habeas petition. *Jd.* at 3. In his Reply, Petitioner contends that, to the extent this action was filed in the incorrect venue, the proper course is to transfer to the Eastern District of California rather than to dismiss the petition. ECF 9.

However, Petitioner does not directly address or refute Respondents’ representations as to where he was detained when he filed his habeas petition. See *Francis v. Rison*, 894 F.3d 353, 354 (9th Cir. 1990) (“[J]urisdiction attaches on initial filing for habeas corpus relief, and it is not destroyed by a transfer of the petitioner and the accompanying custodial change.” (quotation marks and citation omitted)).

The parties are therefore ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing, no later than November 24, 2025, at 12:00 p.m., as to why this matter should not be transferred to the Eastern District of California. Failure to file a response by that deadline will be deemed consent to the transfer.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL

MINUTES — GENERAL Case No. 5:25-cv-03070-MRA-DTB Date November 21, 2025 Title
Angel Martinez Echeverria v. James Janecka et al. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Deputy Clerk mku

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-central-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-for/2025/angel-martinez-echeverria-v-james-janecka-et-al-0nahsxbm>