

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

McMillion v. Doer

No. 1:24-cv-01557-KES-SAB, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (May 22, 2025)

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopted the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations after plaintiff filed no objections. The court denied Skyler McMillion’s motion for class certification in this Bivens civil rights action.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	May 22, 2025
DOCKET	1:24-cv-01557-KES-SAB
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff sought class certification in a pro se Bivens civil-rights action. After a magistrate judge recommended denial and plaintiff filed no objections, the district court adopted the findings and recommendations and denied the motion.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	De novo review under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished
PARTIES	Skyler McMillion v. J. Doer and other defendants

TOPICS

- class actions
- civil procedure
- civil rights

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil rights
- federal civil procedure
- prisoner litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the district court should adopt the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations recommending denial of plaintiff’s motion for class certification.

2. Whether plaintiff's motion for class certification should be denied.

HOLDINGS

1. The district court adopted the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations in full after conducting de novo review.
2. Plaintiff's motion for class certification was denied.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Skyler McMillion is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in a civil-rights complaint brought under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents*. Plaintiff moved for class certification on March 3, 2025. The magistrate judge recommended denial, plaintiff did not file objections within the prescribed fourteen-day period, and the district court found the recommendation supported by the record and proper analysis.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff filed a civil-rights complaint proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis. The matter was referred to a magistrate judge, who issued findings and recommendations on March 5, 2025, recommending denial of plaintiff's motion for class certification. Plaintiff did not object, and the district court conducted de novo review, adopted the findings and recommendations in full, and denied the motion.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- *Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971)