

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
FIRST DEPARTMENT

People v. Leak

Leak, 2025 NY Slip Op 06824 (Supreme Court of the State of New York Appellate Division First Department 2025) · No. Ind No. 72953/22; Appeal No. 5314; Case No. 2024-02809 · Decided December 9, 2025

SUMMARY

The Appellate Division, First Department affirmed the Bronx County Supreme Court judgment convicting Jateise Leak. The court concluded that the sentence was not excessive and unanimously affirmed the judgment.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Moulton, J.P.; Kapnick, J.; Mendez, J.; Shulman, J.; Hagler, J.
JURISDICTION	New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department
DECIDED	December 9, 2025
DOCKET	Ind No. 72953/22; Appeal No. 5314; Case No. 2024-02809
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Defendant appealed from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, rendered April 17, 2024.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published
PARTIES	Jateise Leak v. The People of the State of New York

TOPICS

sentencing criminal procedure appellate procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

criminal law criminal procedure sentencing appellate practice

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the sentence imposed by Supreme Court, Bronx County, was excessive.

HOLDINGS

- 1. The sentence was not excessive.

KEY QUOTATIONS

*“finding the sentence not excessive, It is unanimously ordered that the judgment so appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed.” (*1)*

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion provides no substantive facts concerning the underlying offense. It states only that defendant appealed from a Bronx County Supreme Court judgment and challenged the sentence as excessive.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Jateise Leak appealed a Bronx County Supreme Court judgment rendered April 17, 2024. The Appellate Division, First Department, heard argument and affirmed after finding that the sentence was not excessive.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

People v Leak (2025 NY Slip Op 06824) People v Leak 2025 NY Slip Op 06824 Decided on December 09, 2025 Appellate Division, First Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. This opinion is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. Decided and Entered: December 09, 2025 Before: Moulton, J.P., Kapnick, Mendez, Shulman, Hagler, JJ. Ind No. 72953/22|Appeal No. 5314|Case No. 2024-02809| [*1]The People of the State of New York, Respondent, v Jateise Leak, Defendant-Appellant.

Jenay Nurse Guilford, Center for Appellate Litigation, New York (Leanna J. Duncan of counsel), for appellant. Darcel D. Clark, District Attorney, Bronx (Jonathan L. Gold of counsel), for respondent. An appeal having been taken to this Court by the above-named appellant from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County (Dineen Riviezzo, J.), rendered April 17, 2024, Said appeal having been argued by counsel for the respective parties, due deliberation having been had thereon, and finding the sentence not excessive, It is unanimously ordered that the judgment so appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT. ENTERED: December 9, 2025 Counsel for

appellant is referred to § 606.5, Rules of the Appellate Division, First Department.

PER CURIAM.

People v Leak (2025 NY Slip Op 06824) People v Leak 2025 NY Slip Op 06824 Decided on December 09, 2025 Appellate Division, First Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. This opinion is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. Decided and Entered: December 09, 2025 Before: Moulton, J.P., Kapnick, Mendez, Shulman, Hagler, JJ. Ind No. 72953/22|Appeal No. 5314|Case No. 2024-02809| [*1]The People of the State of New York, Respondent, v Jateise Leak, Defendant-Appellant.

Jenay Nurse Guilford, Center for Appellate Litigation, New York (Leanna J. Duncan of counsel), for appellant. Darcel D. Clark, District Attorney, Bronx (Jonathan L. Gold of counsel), for respondent. An appeal having been taken to this Court by the above-named appellant from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County (Dineen Riviezzo, J.), rendered April 17, 2024, Said appeal having been argued by counsel for the respective parties, due deliberation having been had thereon, and finding the sentence not excessive, It is unanimously ordered that the judgment so appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT. ENTERED: December 9, 2025 Counsel for appellant is referred to § 606.5, Rules of the Appellate Division, First Department.