

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
OHIO, WESTERN DIVISION**

Richard Curtis v. Jessica Little, et al.

Curtis · No. 1:20-cv-543 · Decided January 8, 2026

OPINION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION Richard Curtis:: Case No. 1:20-cv-543 Plaintiff,: v.: Judge Susan J. Dlott
Jessica Little, et al.,:: Order Defendants.: Plaintiff initiated this lawsuit more than five years ago in
July 2020. (Doc. 1.)

The Court dismissed the Complaint on the merits with prejudice on September 15, 2020. (Docs. 3,
6.) Now, more than five years later, Plaintiff has filed a letter to the Clerk of Court that the Court
has construed as a motion to re-file the initial Complaint or for relief from judgment pursuant to
Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (Doc. 8.)

The Magistrate Judge has issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that the Court
deny Plaintiff's motion, essentially as lacking a basis in law or fact. (Doc. 10.) Plaintiff did not file
an objection to the Report and Recommendation, and the time for doing so has passed. Title 28
U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) & (C) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b)(1) authorize magistrate
judges to make recommendations concerning dispositive motions that have been referred to them.

Parties then have fourteen days to make, file, and serve specific written objections to the report
and recommendations. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). A district court is not
required to independently review a report and recommendation to which no objection is made. See
Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985). But some district courts follow the Advisory
Committee Notes to Rule 72(b) and review the report and recommendation for clear error.

See e.g., Roane v. Warden of Corr. Reception Ctr., No. 2:22-CV-2768, 2022 WL 16535903, at *1
(S.D. Ohio Oct. 28, 2022); Lassiter v. Dullaghan, No. 1:10-CV-010, 2011 WL 110259, at *1 (S.D.
Ohio Jan. 13, 2011). The Court finds no clear error in the Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report
and Recommendation.

The Court will not re-file the Complaint, re-open this action, or grant Plaintiff relief from
judgment. The Report and Recommendation (Doc. 10) is ADOPTED and the Plaintiff's letter
motion (Doc. 8) is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. BY THE COURT: S/Susan J. Dlott Susan J.
Dlott United States District Judge

