

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRTEENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS,
CORPUS CHRISTI–EDINBURG

Sandra Flores and Anita M. Flores v. Propel Tax and Javier
Hernandez

No. 13-26-00173-CV · No. No. 13-26-00173-CV · Decided April 9, 2026

SUMMARY

The Thirteenth Court of Appeals of Texas granted the parties’ agreed motion to dismiss the appeal after they reported resolving their differences. The court dismissed the appeal, taxed costs against the appellants under Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 42.1(d), and stated that no motion for rehearing would be entertained.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals for the Thirteenth District of Texas, Corpus Christi–Edinburg
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Justice Jenny Cron; Chief Justice Tijerina; Justice West; Justice Cron
JURISDICTION	Texas Court of Appeals, Thirteenth District, Corpus Christi–Edinburg
DECIDED	April 9, 2026
DOCKET	No. 13-26-00173-CV
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appeal dismissed on the parties' agreed motion after the parties represented that they had resolved their differences.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Not applicable; the appeal was dismissed at the parties' request.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	published memorandum opinion
PARTIES	Sandra Flores, Anita M. Flores v. Propel Tax, Javier Hernandez

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- civil procedure
- costs

PRACTICE AREAS

- appellate procedure
- civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 1. Whether the court should grant the parties' agreed motion to dismiss the appeal.
- 2. How appellate costs should be taxed following dismissal at the parties' request.

HOLDINGS

- 1. The court granted the parties' agreed motion and dismissed the appeal.
- 2. The costs were taxed against the appellants.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Therefore, the agreed motion to dismiss is granted, and the appeal is hereby dismissed.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The parties represented that they had resolved their differences. They jointly requested dismissal of the appeal, and the appellate court granted the agreed motion.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The appeal was taken from the 92nd District Court of Hidalgo County, Texas. While the appeal was pending, the parties filed an agreed motion to dismiss, stating that they had resolved their differences. The court granted the motion, dismissed the appeal, taxed costs against the appellants, and stated that no motion for rehearing would be entertained.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

OPINION

Sandra Flores and Anita M. Flores v. Propel Tax and Javier Hernandez
PER CURIAM.

NUMBER 13-26-00173-CV

COURT OF APPEALS

THIRTEENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS

CORPUS CHRISTI – EDINBURG

SANDRA FLORES

AND ANITA M. FLORES, Appellants, v.

PROPEL TAX

AND

JAVIER

HERNANDEZ,

Appellees.

ON APPEAL FROM THE 92ND DISTRICT COURT

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Chief Justice Tijerina and Justices West and Cron Memorandum Opinion by Justice Cron
This matter is before the Court on an agreed motion to dismiss. In said motion, the parties represent to us that they have resolved their differences and now request that we dismiss the appeal. The Court, having considered the motion, is of the opinion that it should be granted. See TEX. R. APP. P. 42.1(a)(1). Therefore, the agreed motion to dismiss is granted, and the appeal is hereby dismissed. The costs are taxed against the appellants. See id. R. 42.1(d) (“Absent agreement of the parties, the court will tax costs against the appellant.”). Having dismissed the appeal at the parties’ request, no motion for rehearing will be entertained.

JENNY CRON

Justice Delivered and filed on the 9th day of April, 2026. 2