

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
ILLINOIS

Devon Moore v. Andrew Krominga, et al.

Moore · No. 25-cv-1495 · Decided May 18, 2026

SUMMARY

This document is a scheduling order in Devon Moore v. Andrew Krominga, et al., a civil rights action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of Illinois. It establishes discovery, disclosure, amendment, expert, summary judgment, and case-management deadlines, with discovery closing on November 18, 2026, and summary judgment motions due on December 18, 2026.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Jonathan E. Hawley
DECIDED	May 18, 2026
DOCKET	25-cv-1495
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The court issued a scheduling order in a prisoner civil-rights action after all defendants had been served and while the case was entering discovery.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Nonprecedential case-management order
PARTIES	Devon Moore v. Andrew Krominga, et al.

TOPICS

- discovery dispute
- civil procedure
- section 1983
- prisoners rights
- summary judgment

PRACTICE AREAS

- Civil procedure
- Prisoner civil rights
- Discovery

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. What deadlines and procedures should govern discovery, amendment of the complaint, expert disclosures, motions to compel, and summary judgment in the action?
2. What disclosure and case-management obligations should be imposed on the parties during discovery?

HOLDINGS

1. The court ordered the parties to comply with the specified discovery, disclosure, subpoena, motion, and case-management procedures and deadlines, including a discovery deadline of November 18, 2026, and a summary-judgment deadline of December 18, 2026.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Failure to follow the instructions in this order may result in sanctions, including dismissal of this case.”
(Scheduling Order, introductory paragraph)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Devon Moore, a detained or incarcerated plaintiff proceeding pro se, pursues a civil-rights action against Andrew Krominga and other defendants. All defendants had been served, and the court stated that the case was ready to enter the discovery phase. The order addresses anticipated discovery concerning witnesses, documents, medical records, grievances, incident reports, Doe defendants, and claimed injuries and relief.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff brought a civil-rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and proceeded pro se. After service on all defendants, the court found no pending issues requiring discussion and set discovery, amendment, expert-disclosure, summary-judgment, and other case-management deadlines.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Ott v. City of Milwaukee, 682 F.3d 552, 557