

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH
CAROLINA, SPARTANBURG DIVISION**

**Akimsey Cherunn Crawford v. Chase E. Furnas, George A. Johnson,
and George D. Johnson**

Crawford · No. 7:25-cv-12721-DCC · Decided May 1, 2026

OPINION

Crawford

PER CURIAM.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
SPARTANBURG DIVISION

Akimsey Cherunn Crawford,) Case No. 7:25-cv-12721-DCC) Plaintiff,)) v.) ORDER) Chase
E. Furnas, George A. Johnson,) George D. Johnson,)) Defendants.)
_____) This matter is before the Court upon Plaintiff's
complaint. ECF No. 1. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2),
(D.S.C.), this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Kevin F. McDonald for pre-
trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On March 9, 2026, the
Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that this action be dismissed with prejudice,
without leave to amend, and without issuance and service of process. ECF No. 27. The Magistrate
Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and
the serious consequences for failing to do so. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the Report and
the time to do so has lapsed. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court.
The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final
determination remains with the Court. See *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is
charged with making a de novo determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge
to which a specific objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part,
the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge
with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). The Court will review the Report only for clear error in
the absence of an objection. See *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315
(4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not
conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the
face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." (citation omitted)). After considering
the record in this case, the applicable law, and the Report of the Magistrate Judge, the Court finds
no clear error and agrees with the Report's recommendation. This action is **DISMISSED** with
prejudice, without leave to amend, and without issuance and service of process for failure to state

a plausible claim upon which relief can be granted.¹ IT IS SO ORDERED. s/ Donald C. Coggins, Jr. United States District Judge May 1, 2026 Spartanburg, South Carolina 1 Alternatively, this action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with court orders pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-district-of-south-carol/2026/akimsey-cherunn-crawford-v-chase-e-furnas-george-a-johnson-1bg8vxmb>