

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, FIFTH DISTRICT

Ricardo Easley v. State of Florida

Easley · No. 5D22-1831 · Decided December 16, 2022

OPINION

RICARDO EASLEY vs STATE OF FLORIDA

PER CURIAM.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

FIFTH DISTRICT

RICARDO EASLEY,

Appellant, v. Case No. 5D22-1831 LT Case No. 2015-CF-1144

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellee. _____/ Opinion filed December 16, 2022 3.850

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Marion County, Robert W. Hodges, Judge. Ricardo Easley, Palm Coast, pro se. No Appearance for Appellee. PER CURIAM. We issued a Spencer¹ show cause order directing Appellant to demonstrate why he should not be prohibited from filing any appeal, petition, 1 State v. Spencer, 751 So. 2d 47 (Fla. 1999). pleading, or motion pertaining to the conviction and sentence rendered in Case No. 2015-CF-1144 unless reviewed and signed by an attorney licensed to practice in the State of Florida. Having failed to file a response and thus failing to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed, we conclude that Appellant is abusing the judicial process and should be barred from further pro se filings. Therefore, in order to conserve judicial resources, we prohibit Appellant from filing with this Court any further pro se pleadings concerning Marion County Fifth Judicial Circuit case number 2015-CF-1144. The Clerk of this Court is directed not to accept any further pro se filings concerning this case and not to accept any telephone or in-person communications. Any further pleadings regarding this case will be summarily rejected by the Clerk, unless they are filed by a member in good standing with The Florida Bar. See Isley v. State, 652 So. 2d 409, 411 (Fla. 5th DCA 1995) (“Enough is enough.”). FURTHER PRO SE FILINGS PROHIBITED. EDWARDS, EISNAUGLE, and HARRIS, JJ., concur. 2