

FLORIDA THIRD DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

Tania T. Aguilar v. Giraldo A. Perez De Utrera

No. 3D25-2344 · No. 3D25-2344 · Decided May 20, 2026

OPINION

Tania T. Aguilar v. Giraldo A. Perez De Utrera

PER CURIAM.

Third District Court of Appeal State of Florida Opinion filed May 20, 2026. Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing. _____ No. 3D25-2344 Lower Tribunal No. 24-20029-FC-04 _____ Tania T. Aguilar, Appellant, vs. Giraldo A. Perez De Utrera, Appellee. An Appeal from the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County, Donald Cannava, Judge. Tania T. Aguilar, in proper person. Giraldo A. Perez De Utrera, in proper person. Before LINDSEY, MILLER and BOKOR, JJ. PER CURIAM. Affirmed. See *Franco v. Thomas*, 251 So. 3d 325, 327 (Fla. 3d DCA 2018) (“Neither rule 1.540, nor its family law equivalent (rule 12.540), is an appropriate vehicle to challenge a judgment based upon alleged legal error.” (citing *Curbelo v. Ullman*, 571 So. 2d 443, 445 (Fla. 1990), and *Theodorides v. Theodorides*, 201 So. 3d 141, 143–44 (Fla. 3d DCA 2015))); *Curbelo*, 571 So. 2d at 445 (“[W]here a court . . . has jurisdiction of the subject matter and the adverse parties are given an opportunity to be heard, then errors, irregularities or wrongdoing in proceedings, short of illegal deprivation of opportunity to be heard, will not render the judgment void.”); see also *Thompson v. State*, 759 So. 2d 650, 659 (Fla. 2000) (explaining that the fact that a judge has ruled against a party is not a legally sufficient basis for disqualification). 2