

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Toepfer v. City of Vallejo

Toepfer · No. 2:24-cv-02366-KJM-CSK · Decided June 20, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopted the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations after no objections were filed. The court dismissed the plaintiffs' action with prejudice and directed the Clerk of Court to close the case.

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 9 10 ROBERT TOEPFER, et al., Case No. 2:24-cv-02366-KJM-CSK 11 Plaintiffs,
ORDER 12 v. 13 CITY OF VALLEJO, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 On April 23, 2025, the
magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were 17 served on the parties and
which contained notice that any objections to the findings and 18 recommendations were to be
filed within fourteen (14) days.

(ECF No. 25.) No objections were 19 filed and the time to do so has passed. 20 The court
presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 21 602 F.2d 207, 208
(9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de 22 novo. See *Robbins*
v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by 23 the magistrate
judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court 24....”).

Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be 25 supported by
the record and by the proper analysis. 26 ///// 27 ///// 28 /////] Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
ORDERED that: 2 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 25) are ADOPTED IN FULL;
3 2. Plaintiffs' action is DISMISSED with prejudice; and 4 3.

The Clerk of Court is directed to CLOSE this case. 5 || DATED: June 17, 2025. 7 = | ¥ FU/ Fees
STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28