

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Roberto J. Edwards v. R. Singh, et al.

Edwards v. Singh · No. 2:24-cv-2480-TLN-JDP · Decided September 10, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopts the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations in a prisoner civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court dismisses the action without prejudice and directs the Clerk of Court to close the case.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	September 10, 2025
DOCKET	2:24-cv-2480-TLN-JDP
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations in a prisoner civil-rights action after Plaintiff failed to file objections.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The district court presumed the magistrate judge's factual findings correct and reviewed the magistrate judge's legal conclusions de novo.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished

TOPICS

- [civil rights](#) [prisoners rights](#) [section 1983](#) [civil procedure](#)

PRACTICE AREAS

- [prisoner civil rights](#) [federal civil procedure](#)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the district court should adopt the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations when Plaintiff filed no objections.
- Whether the action should be dismissed without prejudice and the case closed.

HOLDINGS

1. The district court adopted the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations in full after reviewing the file and determining that they were supported by the record and proper analysis.
2. The action was dismissed without prejudice.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.” (at 1)

“The findings of fact are presumed correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979).” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Roberto J. Edwards, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil-rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The action was referred to a magistrate judge, who issued findings and recommendations. Plaintiff did not file objections, although the court determined that he had been properly served at his record address.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff filed an action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the matter was referred to a magistrate judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. The magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations on August 1, 2025. After reviewing the record and receiving no objections, the district court adopted the findings and recommendations in full, dismissed the action without prejudice, and directed the Clerk to close the case.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979)
- Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007)