

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Roberto J. Edwards v. R. Singh, et al.

Edwards v. Singh · No. 2:24-cv-2480-TLN-JDP · Decided September 10, 2025

OPINION

Singh

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 ROBERTO J. EDWARDS, Case No. 2:24-cv-2480-TLN-JDP 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER 14
R. SINGH, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff Roberto J. Edwards (“Plaintiff”), a state prisoner
proceeding pro se, filed this 18 civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The
matter was referred to a United 19 States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B)
and Local Rule 302. 20 On August 1, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and
recommendations herein 21 which were served on Plaintiff, and which contained notice that any
objections to the findings and 22 recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF
No. 20.) Plaintiff has not filed 23 objections to the findings and recommendations.1 24 The Court
presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 25 F.2d 207, 208
(9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 26 1 Although it
appears from the file that plaintiff’s copy of the findings and 27 recommendations was returned,
Plaintiff was properly served. It is Plaintiff’s responsibility to keep the Court apprised of his
current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service 28 of documents at the record
address of the party is fully effective. 1 See *Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007)
 (“[D]eterminations of law by the 2 magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district
court and [the appellate] court”). 3 Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and
recommendations to be supported by 4 the record and by the proper analysis. 5 Accordingly, IT IS
HEREBY ORDERED that: 6 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 1, 2025 (ECF
No. 20) are ADOPTED 7 in full; 8 2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice; and 9 3. The
Clerk of Court is directed to close the case. 10 Date: September 9, 2025 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28