

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OHIO

Jacquellyn Oliver v. Commissioner of Social Security

Oliver · No. 4:25-CV-00841 · Decided February 13, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio adopts a magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation because no objections were filed within the required period. The court vacates the Commissioner of Social Security’s decision denying supplemental security income and remands the case for further consideration of the plaintiff’s migraine headaches.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Benita Y. Pearson
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio
DECIDED	February 13, 2026
DOCKET	4:25-CV-00841
DISPOSITION	reversed_and_remanded
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation in an action challenging the denial of supplemental security income. No party filed objections, so the court adopted the Report and Recommendation, vacated the Commissioner's decision, and remanded for further consideration of Plaintiff's migraine headaches.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	De novo review is required only for portions of a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation to which a party objects; absent objections, the district court may adopt the report without review.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished district court memorandum opinion and order
PARTIES	Jacquellyn Oliver v. Commissioner of Social Security

TOPICS

- judicial review of agency action
- administrative law
- civil procedure
- remedies

PRACTICE AREAS

Social Security

administrative law

civil procedure

judicial review of agency action

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court should review and adopt the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation when no party filed timely objections.
2. Whether the Commissioner's decision denying supplemental security income should be vacated and the case remanded for further consideration of Plaintiff's migraine headaches.

HOLDINGS

1. When no party files timely objections, the district court may adopt the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation without conducting de novo review.
2. The Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff's application for supplemental security income is vacated, and the case is remanded for further consideration of Plaintiff's migraine headaches.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Absent objections, a district court may adopt a magistrate judge’s report without review.”

“The Commissioner’s decision denying Plaintiff’s application for supplemental security income is vacated, and the case is remanded for further consideration of her migraine headaches.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Jacquelyn Oliver sought supplemental security income, but the Commissioner of Social Security issued a final decision denying her application. The magistrate judge recommended vacating that decision and remanding for further consideration of Oliver's migraine headaches.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On January 28, 2026, the assigned magistrate judge recommended vacating the Commissioner's final decision denying supplemental security income and remanding for further consideration of Plaintiff's migraine headaches. Objections were due February 11, 2026, but none were filed. The district court therefore adopted the Report and Recommendation, vacated the administrative decision, and remanded the case.

DISPOSITION

reversed_and_remanded

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

The Commissioner must give further consideration to Plaintiff's migraine headaches.

CASES CITED

- Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985)
- United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981)

OPINION

Oliver

PER CURIAM.

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

EASTERN DIVISION

JACQUELLYN OLIVER,)

) CASE NO. 4:25-CV-00841

Plaintiff,))

v.) JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON

)

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL)

SECURITY,) MEMORANDUM OF

) OPINION AND ORDER

Defendant.) [Resolving ECF No. 11] On January 28, 2026, the assigned magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation that the Commissioner of Social Security's final decision denying Plaintiff 's application for supplemental security income be vacated and that Plaintiff's case be remanded for further consideration of her migraine headaches. See ECF No. 11. The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a de novo review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Parties must file any objections to a Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service. See *id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). Failure to object within this time waives a party's right to appeal the district court's judgment. See *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949–50 (6th Cir. 1981). Absent objections, a district court may adopt a magistrate judge's report without review. See *Thomas*, 474 U.S. at 149. Objections to the Report and Recommendation were due by February 11, 2026. None have been filed. Accordingly, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation. ECF No. 11. The Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff 's application for supplemental security income is vacated, and the case is remanded for further consideration of her migraine headaches. A separate Order of Remand shall issue. IT IS SO ORDERED. February 13, 2026 /s/ Benita Y. Pearson Date Benita Y. Pearson United States District Judge