

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Joseph G. Klotz v. T. Miranda, et al.

Klotz v. Miranda · No. 2:25-cv-1273-CKD P · Decided October 27, 2025

OPINION

Miranda

PER CURIAM.

1 s 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 JOSEPH G. KLOTZ, No. 2:25-cv-1273-CKD P

12 Plaintiff,

13 v. ORDER AND

14 T. MIRANDA, et al., FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

15 Defendants. 16

17 18 By order filed on August 29, 2025 (ECF No. 6), plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and 19
thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The time granted for plaintiff to file
20 an amended complaint has expired and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, sought an
21 extension of time to do so, or otherwise communicated with a court. 22 Accordingly, IT IS
ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign a district judge to 23 this case. 24 In addition,
IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See 25 Local Rule 110;
Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 26 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States
District Judge 27 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within
fourteen days 28 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file
written objections 1 | with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be
captioned 2 || "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is
advised that 3 || failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal
the District 4 | Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 5 | Dated: October
27, 2025 Cad) ft 4 by ae

7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 9 8, klot1273.fta 10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28