

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Barnes v. Orozco

Barnes · No. 2:25-cv-0863 WBS CSK P · Decided July 22, 2025

OPINION

(HC) Barnes v. Orozco

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 ANTOINE DESHAWN BARNES, No. 2:25-cv-0863 WBS CSK P

12 Petitioner, 13 v. ORDER 14 ROSEMARY OROZCO, et al., 15 Respondents. 16 17 Petitioner,
a county prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an application for a writ of habeas 18 corpus pursuant to
28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate 19 Judge pursuant to 28
U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On June 25, 2025, the magistrate judge filed
findings and recommendations herein which 21 were served on petitioner and which contained
notice to petitioner that any objections to the 22 findings and recommendations were to be filed
within fourteen days. Petitioner did not file 23 objections to the findings and recommendations. 24
The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 25 F.2d
207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 26 See
Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having 27 reviewed
the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record 28 and by
the magistrate judge's analysis. 1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 2 1. The findings
and recommendations (ECF No. 8) are adopted in full; and 3 2. This action is dismissed without
prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 4 | 1836). . - 5 | Dated: July 21, 2025 abba AS,
fh

6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

4 8 9 10 11 12 13} bam25ev0863.800.he 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28