

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Disciplinary Counsel v. Hoover

2022-Ohio-4026 (Ohio 2022) · No. 2021-1517 · Decided November 14, 2022

SUMMARY

The Supreme Court of Ohio reinstated Robert T. Hoover to the practice of law after finding that he complied with the court's March 17, 2022 suspension order and the applicable provisions of Gov. Bar R. V(24). The order directed the clerk to issue certified copies and arrange for publication.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Ohio
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Maureen O'Connor, C.J.; Maureen O'Connor; Kennedy, J.; Fischer, J.; DeWine, J.; Donnelly, J.; Stewart, J.; Brunner, J.
JURISDICTION	Ohio
DECIDED	November 14, 2022
DOCKET	2021-1517
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Respondent applied for reinstatement to the practice of law after serving a two-year suspension with credit for time served under an interim felony suspension.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	limited

TOPICS

appellate procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

legal ethics

attorney discipline

professional licensing

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether respondent satisfied the conditions for reinstatement to the practice of law under the court's prior suspension order and Gov.Bar R. V(24).

HOLDINGS

1. Respondent complied with the prior suspension order and Gov.Bar R. V(24), and therefore was reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Therefore, it is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio.”
(¶ 3)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Robert T. Hoover was suspended from practicing law for two years, with credit for time served under an interim felony suspension and subject to conditions for reinstatement. He filed an application for reinstatement and the court found that he had complied with the suspension order and the applicable reinstatement provisions.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Supreme Court of Ohio had previously suspended Robert T. Hoover from the practice of law for two years, with credit for time served under his October 5, 2020 interim felony suspension, and imposed conditions for reinstatement. Upon Hoover's application for reinstatement, the court found that he had complied with its March 17, 2022 order and with Gov.Bar R. V(24), and ordered his reinstatement.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Disciplinary Counsel v. Hoover, 167 Ohio St. 3d 69, 2022-Ohio-769, 188 N.E.3d 1076

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

[Cite as Disciplinary Counsel v. Hoover, ___ Ohio St.3d ___, 2022-Ohio-4026.] DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL v. HOOVER. [Cite as Disciplinary Counsel v. Hoover, ___ Ohio St.3d ___, 2022-Ohio-4026.] (No. 2021-1517—Submitted November 10, 2022—Decided November 14, 2022.) ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT. _____ {¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, Robert T. Hoover, Attorney Registration No. 0039610, last known address in Portsmouth, Ohio. {¶ 2} The court coming now to consider its order of March 17, 2022, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(12)(A)(3), suspended respondent from the practice of law for a period of two years with credit for the time served under his October 5, 2020 interim felony suspension and with conditions for reinstatement, finds that respondent has complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(24). {¶ 3} Therefore, it is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio. {¶ 4} It is further ordered that the clerk of this court issue

certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(E)(1) and that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(E)(2). {¶ 5} For earlier case, see Disciplinary Counsel v. Hoover, 167 Ohio St.3d 69, 2022-Ohio-769, 188 N.E.3d.

1076. O'CONNOR, C.J., and KENNEDY, FISCHER, DEWINE, DONNELLY, STEWART, and BRUNNER, JJ., concur. _____