

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Disciplinary Counsel v. Rossi

2026-Ohio-2292 · No. 2024-1722 · Decided June 18, 2026

SUMMARY

The Supreme Court of Ohio reinstated Gregg August Rossi to the practice of law after finding that he complied with the court’s December 5, 2025 suspension order and the applicable disciplinary rule. The order directs the clerk to issue certified copies and publish the order as required.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Ohio
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Chief Justice Kennedy; Justice Fischer; Justice DeWine; Justice Brunner; Justice Deters; Justice Hawkins; Justice Shanahan
JURISDICTION	Supreme Court of Ohio
DECIDED	June 18, 2026
DOCKET	2024-1722
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Application for reinstatement to the practice of law following a six-month suspension with reinstatement conditioned on compliance with the court's order and Gov.Bar R. V(24).
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	published
PARTIES	Disciplinary Counsel v. Gregg August Rossi

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- legal ethics and professional responsibility
- attorney reinstatement

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether Rossi satisfied the conditions for reinstatement to the practice of law after his six-month suspension.

HOLDINGS

1. Because Rossi complied with the court's suspension order and Gov.Bar R. V(24), he was entitled to reinstatement to the practice of law in Ohio.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Therefore, it is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the State of Ohio.”
(¶ 3)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Gregg August Rossi was subject to a six-month suspension from the practice of law under the Supreme Court of Ohio's December 5, 2025 order. He applied for reinstatement, and the court found that he had complied with the suspension order and the requirements of Gov.Bar R. V(24).

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Supreme Court of Ohio had previously suspended Rossi from the practice of law for six months, with reinstatement on condition, in an order dated December 5, 2025. Upon Rossi's application for reinstatement, the court found that he had complied with that order and Gov.Bar R. V(24), and ordered his reinstatement.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Disciplinary Counsel v. Rossi, 2025-Ohio-5398

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

[Cite as Disciplinary Counsel v. Rossi, 2026-Ohio-2292.] DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL v. ROSSI.
[Cite as Disciplinary Counsel v. Rossi, 2026-Ohio-2292.] (No. 2024-1722—Submitted June 16, 2026—Decided June 18, 2026.) ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT.
_____ {¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, Gregg August Rossi, Attorney Registration No. 0051224, last known business address in Youngstown, Ohio. {¶ 2} The court coming now to consider its order of December 5, 2025, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(12)(A)(3), suspended respondent from the practice of law for a period of six months with reinstatement on condition, finds that respondent has complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(24). {¶ 3} Therefore, it is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the State of Ohio. {¶ 4} It is further ordered that the clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(E)(1) and that publication be made as provided

for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(E)(2). {¶ 5} For previous case, see Disciplinary Counsel v. Rossi, 2025-Ohio- 5398.

KENNEDY, C.J., and FISCHER, DEWINE, BRUNNER, DETERS, HAWKINS, and SHANAHAN, JJ., concur. _____