

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Disciplinary Counsel v. Rossi

2026-Ohio-2292 · No. 2024-1722 · Decided June 18, 2026

OPINION

Disciplinary Counsel v. Rossi

2026 Ohio 2292

PER CURIAM.

[Cite as Disciplinary Counsel v. Rossi, 2026-Ohio-2292.] DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL v. ROSSI.

[Cite as Disciplinary Counsel v. Rossi, 2026-Ohio-2292.] (No. 2024-1722—Submitted June 16, 2026—Decided June 18, 2026.) ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT.

_____ {¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, Gregg August Rossi, Attorney Registration No. 0051224, last known business address in Youngstown, Ohio. {¶ 2} The court coming now to consider its order of December 5, 2025, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(12)(A)(3), suspended respondent from the practice of law for a period of six months with reinstatement on condition, finds that respondent has complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(24). {¶ 3} Therefore, it is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the State of Ohio. {¶ 4} It is further ordered that the clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(E)(1) and that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(E)(2). {¶ 5} For previous case, see Disciplinary Counsel v. Rossi, 2025-Ohio- 5398.

KENNEDY, C.J., and FISCHER, DEWINE, BRUNNER, DETERS, HAWKINS, and SHANAHAN, JJ., concur. _____