

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Jimmie R. Carnes, Jr. v. Sacramento County Main Jail, et al.

No. 2:24-cv-0502-DJC-JDP (P), United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (May 27,
2025)

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopted the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations after the plaintiff filed no objections. The court dismissed the § 1983 action without prejudice for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders, and directed the clerk to close the case.

OPINION

(PC) Carnes v. Sacramento County Main Jail

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 JIMMIE R. CARNES, JR., Case No. 2:24-cv-0502-DJC-JDP (P) 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER
14 SACRAMENTO COUNTY MAIN JAIL,

et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights
action 18 seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States 19
Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On April 17, 2025,
the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations 21 herein which were served on
Plaintiff, and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any 22 objections to the findings and
recommendations were to be filed within fourteen 23 days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the
findings and recommendations. 24 The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See
Orand v. United 25 States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The Magistrate Judge’s conclusions
of law 26 are reviewed de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) 27
("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the 28 1 | district
court and [the appellate] court... "). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds 2 | the findings and
recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper 3 | analysis. 4 Accordingly, IT
IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 5 1. The findings and recommendations, filed April 17, 2025, are
adopted in full; 6 2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to prosecute and 7 |
failure to comply with court orders for the reasons set forth in the November 18, 2024 8 | order.
See ECF No. 16; and 9 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case. 10 14 IT IS SO

ORDERED. 12 | Dated: _May 23, 2025 “Darel J CL bratter— Hon. Daniel alabretta
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-for/2025/jimmie-r-carnes-jr-v-sacramento-county-main-jail-et-al-261mv19d>