

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Disciplinary Counsel v. Grendell

2026 Ohio-2119 · No. 2024-1409 · Decided June 8, 2026

SUMMARY

The Supreme Court of Ohio considers Timothy Joseph Grendell's application for reinstatement to the practice of law. The court finds that he complied with the applicable suspension order and reinstates him to practice in Ohio.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Ohio
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Chief Justice Kennedy; Justice Fischer; Justice DeWine; Justice Brunner; Justice Deters; Justice Hawkins; Justice Shanahan
JURISDICTION	Supreme Court of Ohio
DECIDED	June 8, 2026
DOCKET	2024-1409
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	On respondent's application for reinstatement to the practice of law after a suspension.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published

TOPICS

administrative law

PRACTICE AREAS

legal ethics

attorney discipline

professional licensing

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether respondent should be reinstated to the practice of law after complying with the prior suspension order and the applicable reinstatement requirements.

HOLDINGS

- Because respondent complied with the court's suspension order and Gov.Bar R. V(24), he was reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Timothy Joseph Grendell was subject to an 18-month suspension from the practice of law, with 12 months stayed on condition, under the court's November 21, 2025 order. He applied for reinstatement. The Supreme Court of Ohio found that he had complied with that order and with Gov.Bar R. V(24).

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The court had previously suspended respondent Timothy Joseph Grendell from the practice of law for 18 months, with 12 months stayed on condition. Upon further consideration of Grendell's application for reinstatement, the court found that he had complied with the suspension order and Gov.Bar R. V(24), and ordered his reinstatement.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Disciplinary Counsel v. Grendell, 2025-Ohio-5239