

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Singh v. CDCR

Singh · No. 2:24-cv-3294-SCR · Decided June 3, 2025

SUMMARY

The court recommends dismissing Raghvendra Singh’s action against CDCR and other defendants without prejudice because he failed to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the filing fee. The magistrate judge also ordered the clerk to randomly assign a district judge and advised Singh of the deadline and consequences for filing objections.

OPINION

(PC) Singh v. CDCR

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 RAGHVENDRA SINGH, No. 2:24-cv-3294-SCR

12 Plaintiff,

13 v. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND

RECOMMENDATIONS

14 CDCR, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By an order filed December 11, 2024, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed application 18 to proceed in forma pauperis or to pay the filing fee in this action. He was cautioned that failure 19 to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The twenty one day 20 period has expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order by filing the required 21 document or by paying the filing fee. 22 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is 23 directed to randomly assign a district judge to this case; and 24 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. 25 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 26 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty one days 27 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 28 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 1 | “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that 2 || failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District 3 || Court’s order. Martinez v. YIst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 4 |

DATED: June 2, 2025 5 ‘ .

7 SEAN C. RIORDAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-for/2025/singh-v-cdcr-2anr4wlu>