

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Singh v. CDCR

Singh · No. 2:24-cv-3294-SCR · Decided June 3, 2025

OPINION

(PC) Singh v. CDCR

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 RAGHVENDRA SINGH, No. 2:24-cv-3294-SCR

12 Plaintiff,

13 v. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND

RECOMMENDATIONS

14 CDCR, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By an order filed December 11, 2024, plaintiff was ordered
to file a completed application 18 to proceed in forma pauperis or to pay the filing fee in this
action. He was cautioned that failure 19 to do so would result in a recommendation that this action
be dismissed. The twenty one day 20 period has expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the
court’s order by filing the required 21 document or by paying the filing fee. 22 In accordance with
the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is 23 directed to randomly
assign a district judge to this case; and 24 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be
dismissed without prejudice. 25 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United
States District Judge 26 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).
Within twenty one days 27 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff
may file written objections 28 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document
should be captioned 1 | “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.”
Plaintiff is advised that 2 || failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right
to appeal the District 3 || Court’s order. *Martinez v. YIst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 4 |
DATED: June 2, 2025 5 ‘ .

7 SEAN C. RIORDAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28