

THIRD DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA

Carlos Llwenlyn Petterson-Grifith v. State of Florida

No. 3D25-1944 · No. No. 3D25-1944 · Decided May 27, 2026

SUMMARY

The Third District Court of Appeal of Florida held that sufficient evidence supported the jury's finding that the appellant intended a battery. The court noted that the appellant, who was familiar with firearms, intentionally fired a handgun twice at tiles near the victim's feet, causing tile shards to strike her legs, and affirmed the conviction.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Third District Court of Appeal State of Florida Opinion filed May 27, 2026. Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing. _____ No. 3D25-1944 Lower Tribunal No. F24-19721 _____ Carlos Llwenlyn Petterson-Grifith, Appellant, vs. State of Florida, Appellee. An Appeal from the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County, Tanya Brinkley, Judge.

Carlos J. Martinez, Public Defender, and Amy Weber, Assistant Public Defender, for appellant. James Uthmeier, Attorney General, and Daniel Colmenares, Assistant Attorney General, for appellee. Before LOGUE, LINDSEY, and GORDO, JJ. PER CURIAM.

The State presented sufficient evidence for the jury to find Appellant intended a battery. The evidence reflected Appellant was familiar with firearms and intentionally fired the handgun twice at the tiles near the feet of the victim, which drove tile shards into her legs.

Accordingly, we affirm. *Pagan v. State*, 830 So. 2d 792, 803 (Fla. 2002) (“If, after viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to the State, a rational trier of fact could find the existence of the elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt, sufficient evidence exists to sustain a conviction.”). Affirmed. 2