

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF  
GEORGIA, COLUMBUS DIVISION**

**Glorice McPherson v. T-Mobile, et al.**

McPherson · No. 4:25-cv-78-CDL-AGH · Decided March 5, 2026

---

**SUMMARY**

The United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia adopted a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation after conducting a de novo review. The court overruled the plaintiff's objections and denied her request to amend the complaint because the request was improperly embedded in the objections.

**OPINION**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
COLUMBUS DIVISION GLORICE MCPHERSON, \* Plaintiff, \* vs. \* CASE NO. 4:25-cv-78-  
CDL-AGH T-MOBILE, et al. \* Defendants. \* O R D E R After a de novo review of the record in  
this case, the Report and Recommendation filed by the United States Magistrate Judge on  
February 12, 2026 is hereby approved, adopted, and made the Order of the Court.

The Court considered Plaintiff's objections to the Report and Recommendation and finds that they lack merit. Further, Plaintiff's request for leave to amend her complaint in her objections to the Report and Recommendation is improper. See *Rosenberg v. Gould*, 554 F.3d 962, 967 (11th Cir. 2009) ("Where a request for leave to file an amended complaint simply is imbedded within an opposition memorandum, the issue has not been raised properly.") (quoting *Posner v. Essex Ins.*

*Co.*, 178 F.3d 1209, 1222 (11th Cir. 1999)). Accordingly, Plaintiff's request for leave to amend her complaint is denied. IT IS SO ORDERED, this 5th day of March, 2026. S/Clay D. Land CLAY D. LAND, Judge