

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

**Pascual Cruz Bonilla v. Warden, Otero County Processing Center,
Mary De Anda-Ybarra, Field Office Director of Enforcement and
Removal Operations, El Paso Field Office, Immigration and Customs
Enforcement; Todd Lyons, Acting Director Immigration and
Customs Enforcement; Current Secretary, U.S. Department of
Homeland Security; and Pamela Bondi, U.S. Attorney General**

Cruz Bonilla v. Warden · No. Civ. No. 26-0824-KG-GBW · Decided March 20, 2026

OPINION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO
PASCUAL CRUZ BONILLA, Petitioner, v. Civ. No. 26-0824-KG-GBW WARDEN, Otero
County Processing Center, MARY DE ANDA-YBARRA, Field Office Director of Enforcement
and Removal Operations, El Paso Field Office, Immigration and Customs Enforcement; TODD
LYONS, Acting Director Immigration and Customs Enforcement; CURRENT SECRETARY, U.S.
Department Of Homeland Security; AND PAMELA BONDI, U.S. Attorney General,
Respondents,¹ ORDER TO ANSWER This matter is before the Court on the Petition for Writ of
Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241.

(Doc. 1) (Petition). Petitioner is an immigration detainee at the Otero County Processing Center
and is proceeding pro se. The Petition alleges he entered the United States in 1999 and was
detained by immigrations on September 1, 2025. (Doc. 1) at 4, 24. He alleges he did not receive a
meaningful bond hearing and that he is subject to prolonged detention. Accepting the facts as true,
the Court will require an answer to the Petition.

The Clerk's Office has electronically served Respondents by Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF)
using the Case Management and Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF) system. (Doc. 3). Based on the
1 The Clerk's Office shall add/substitute the above-mentioned parties as Respondents. See Torres-
Torres v. Miller, 2020 WL 4430519 (D.N.M. July 31, 2020) (discussing the respondents in an
immigration habeas case); Lowmaster v. Dir., Bureau of Prisons, 2024 WL 5135970, at *1 (D.

Kan. Dec. 17, 2024) ("the Court notes that it routinely substitutes the" proper parties as
"respondent in habeas cases"); Danderson v. Page, 2024 WL 3913051, at *2 (E.D. Okla. Aug. 20,
2024) (substituting the proper party respondent in a habeas case). alleged facts, and because
Petitioner also filed a Motion for Emergency Release (Doc. 2), the Court will shorten the answer
deadline to March 27, 2026.

See Standing Order, In re Service of Process in Immigration Habeas Petitions Filed Pursuant to §§ 2241 et seq., No. 1:26-mc-00004 (D.N.M. Jan. 28, 2026). The answer must address both the Petition (Doc. 1) and the Motion for Emergency Release (Doc. 2). Petitioner may file an optional reply within seven (7) business days after the response is filed.

The Court may elect to enter a ruling before a reply is filed, if the record reflects that relief is warranted. IT IS ORDERED that: 1. The United States Attorney's Office must answer the Petition (Doc. 1) and the Motion for Emergency Release (Doc. 2) by March 27, 2026. 2. If Petitioner wishes to file an optional reply, he must do so within seven (7) business days after the response is filed.

3. The Clerk's Office shall update CM/ECF to reflect the additional party respondents. /s/Kenneth J. Gonzales_____ CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE • Please note that this document has been electronically filed.

To verify its authenticity, please refer to the Digital File Stamp on the NEF (Notice of Electronic Filing) accompanying this document. Electronically filed documents can be found on the Court's PACER public access system.