

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

UMC Physicians' Bargaining Unit of Nevada Service Employees Union v. Nevada Service Employees Union

UMC Physicians' Bargaining Unit · No. 80817 · Decided September 16, 2021

SUMMARY

This Nevada Supreme Court appeal arose from a dispute involving the UMC Physicians' Bargaining Unit, Nevada Service Employees Union, SEIU Local 1107, and University Medical Center of Southern Nevada. The court issued an order affirming in part, reversing in part, and remanding the matter to the district court for further proceedings. The appeal was closed after remittitur issued on October 11, 2021.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Nevada
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Mark Gibbons, Senior Justice
JURISDICTION	Nevada
DECIDED	September 16, 2021
DOCKET	80817
DISPOSITION	reversed_and_remanded
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Civil appeal from a judgment of the Eighth Judicial District Court. Respondents moved to dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction; the motion was denied without prejudice. After briefing, the Supreme Court of Nevada affirmed in part, reversed in part, and remanded.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published status is indicated by the supplied metadata, but the source text available here is a docket record and dispositional-order entry rather than the full opinion.
PARTIES	UMC Physicians' Bargaining Unit of Nevada Service Employees Union, SEIU Local 1107, AFL-CIO, CLC, Andrea Fong, Ardeshir Rohani, M.D., Bradley Walker, M.D., Deborah Boland, Deborah Goodwin, M.D., Edgar L. Cox, M.D., Ernesto Rubio, M.D., Estate of Sterling Tanner, M.D., George Oehlsen, Joel Canga, M.D., John Nepomuceno, M.D., Maria Martinez, M.D., Michael S. Tanner, Neil W. Goodsell, M.D., Ronald Taylor, M.D., Timothy Schraeder, M.D. v. Nevada Service Employees Union, SEIU Local 1107, AFL-CIO,

TOPICS

appellate procedure appellate jurisdiction labor law employment law civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

employment law labor law appellate procedure civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court's judgment should be affirmed, reversed in part, or otherwise modified on appeal.
2. Whether the appeal was subject to dismissal for lack of appellate jurisdiction.

HOLDINGS

1. The Supreme Court of Nevada affirmed the district court's judgment in part, reversed it in part, and remanded the matter for further proceedings consistent with its order.
2. The court denied respondents' motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, without prejudice to renewal after completion of settlement proceedings.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED IN PART AND REVERSED IN PART AND REMAND this matter to the district court for proceedings consistent with this order.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The source consists primarily of docket and case-management information and does not include the opinion's substantive factual discussion. The underlying dispute involved the UMC Physicians' Bargaining Unit of the Nevada Service Employees Union and related labor-union and medical-center parties.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The appeal was taken from the Clark County District Court, Eighth Judicial District, case A791104. Respondents moved to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, but the Supreme Court denied that motion without prejudice and allowed the appeal to proceed through settlement and briefing. On September 16, 2021, the court affirmed in part, reversed in part, and remanded the matter; remittitur issued on October 11, 2021.

DISPOSITION

reversed_and_remanded

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

Remand to the district court for proceedings consistent with the Supreme Court of Nevada's order.

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/nevada-supreme-court-of-nevada/2021/umc-physicians-bargaining-unit-of-nevada-service-employees-2n4k7gar>