

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Jacques v. Dobbs

Jacques · No. No. 2:24-cv-00478-DJC-EFB (PC) · Decided July 17, 2025

SUMMARY

The court recommends dismissal without prejudice of Michael E. Jacques’s 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action because he failed to pay the \$405 filing fee within the court-ordered deadline. The findings and recommendations advise the parties of the fourteen-day period for filing objections.

OPINION

(PC) Jacques v. Dobbs

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 MICHAEL E. JACQUES, No. 2:24-cv-00478-DJC-EFB (PC)

12 Plaintiff,

13 v. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

14 M. DOBBS,

15 Defendant. 16

17 Plaintiff, a state inmate, proceeds without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 18
1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 19
636(b)(1). 20 On May 22, 2025, the court ordered plaintiff to pay the \$405 filing fee within 30
21 days. ECF No. 17. The order warned plaintiff that failure to comply would result in dismissal
22 of the action without prejudice. The time for acting has now passed and plaintiff has not paid
23 the fee. Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without
24 prejudice. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
25 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen
26 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
27 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
28 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the 1 |
objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The 2 ||
parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to 3
|| appeal the District Court’s order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez

4 | v. Yist, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). ° □□ Le fee □□ 6 | Dated: July 17, 2025 Pitti □□ “biti a
Bid CE LH A —_

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 9 10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28