

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Lena Giron v. Leopoldo Rey

Giron v. Rey · No. CV 24-7208 CV (MBK) · Decided April 23, 2025

OPINION

Lena Giron v. Leopoldo Rey

PER CURIAM.

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. CV 24-7208 CV (MBK) Date April 23, 2025 Title Giron v. Rey, et al. Present: The Honorable Michael B. Kaufman James Muñoz n/a Deputy Clerk Court Reporter / Recorder Attorneys Present for Plaintiff: Attorneys Present for Defendant: None present None present Proceedings: ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL This is a civil rights action. Plaintiff, a self-represented litigant, alleges that her civil rights were violated when Defendant Rey, an officer of the Los Angeles Police Department (“LAPD”), ordered the destruction and towing of her specially constructed vehicle in collaboration with other, unnamed individuals. (Dkt. 1 at 6.) The District Judge previously assigned to this case granted Plaintiff leave to proceed in forma pauperis without prepayment of the Court’s filing fee. (Dkt. 7.) Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) (2), the Court preliminarily screened the Complaint to determine whether the claims are pled with adequate specificity, are frivolous or malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or seek damages from defendants who are immune. The Court issued an order dismissing the Complaint with leave to amend. (Dkt. 15.) The Court’s order directed Plaintiff to file her First Amended Complaint or, in the alternative, voluntarily dismiss the action by or before April 18. (Id.) To date, Plaintiff has not filed a First Amended Complaint or a statement of voluntary dismissal. Plaintiff is ORDERED to show cause why the action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and obey Court orders. Plaintiff’s (1) response to this OSC and (2) First Amended Complaint or statement of voluntary dismissal will be due by May 23, 2025. Failure to comply with the terms of this order may result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Proc. 41(b). *Applied Underwriters, Inc. v. Lichtenegger*, 913 F.3d 884 (9th Cir. 2019).