

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Plett v. Tucker

Plett · No. 2:24-cv-2870-DAD-CKD · Decided May 23, 2025

OPINION

(PC) Plett v. Tucker

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 MIKEL LEE PLETT 2:24-cv-2870-DAD-CKD P

12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER

14 J. TUCKER,

15 Defendant. 16

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner, proceeds without counsel and requests to proceed in forma 18
pauperis. This matter was referred to the undersigned by Local Rule 302. See 28 U.S.C. § 19
636(b)(1). Plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 1) is before the court for screening. The complaint fails
20 to state a claim and must be dismissed, but plaintiff is granted leave to amend as set forth
below. 21 I. In Forma Pauperis 22 Plaintiff's declaration in support of the motion to proceed in
forma pauperis makes the 23 showing required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The motion is granted. By
separate order, plaintiff will 24 be assessed an initial partial filing fee in accordance with the
provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 25 1915(b)(1). The order will direct the appropriate agency to collect
the initial partial filing fee 26 from plaintiff's trust account and forward it to the Clerk of the
Court. Thereafter, plaintiff will be 27 obligated for monthly payments of twenty percent of the
preceding month's income credited to 28 plaintiff's prison trust account. These payments will be
forwarded by the appropriate agency to 1 the Clerk of the Court each time the amount in
plaintiff's account exceeds \$10.00 until the filing 2 fee is paid in full. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). 3 II.
Screening Requirement 4 The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking
relief against a 5 governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. §
1915A(a). The 6 court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised
claims that are legally 7 "frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may
be granted, or that seek 8 monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28
U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1), (2). 9 III. Allegations in the Complaint 10 The events described in the
complaint allegedly occurred at CSP-Sacramento. (ECF No. 1 11 at 1.) The sole named defendant

is J. Tucker, who is alleged to be a psychologist. (Id. at 2.) 12 Plaintiff has transgender dysphoria and was interviewed on February 5 for the purpose of getting 13 on hormonal therapy. (Id. at 3.) More than 6 months passed following the interview during which 14 time defendant was denying plaintiff medical treatment and medications. (Id.) Plaintiff seeks 15 monetary damages. (Id. at 6.) 16 IV. Discussion 17 The complaint contains insufficient factual allegations to state a claim against defendant 18 Tucker. Rule 8(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires a short and plain statement 19 of the claim that shows the pleader is entitled to relief. *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 20 544, 555 (2007). In order to state a cognizable claim, a complaint must contain factual allegations 21 sufficient “to raise a right to relief above the speculative level.” *Id.* The facts alleged must ““give 22 the defendant fair notice of what the... claim is and the grounds upon which it rests.”” *Erickson v. 23 Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 93 (2007) (quoting *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555). 24 Plaintiff complains about the alleged denial or delay of medical care, which can violate 25 the Eighth Amendment. See *Estelle v. Gamble*, 429 U.S. 97, 104-05 (1976). A violation occurs 26 when a prison official causes injury as a result of his or her deliberate indifference to a prisoner’s 27 serious medical needs. *Id.* “Deliberate indifference” includes a purposeful act or failure to 28 respond to a prisoner’s pain or possible medical need. *Jett v. Penner*, 439 F.3d 1091, 1096 (9th

1 Cir. 2006) citing *Estelle*, 429 U.S. at 104. Mere delay of medical treatment, “without more, is 2 insufficient to state a claim of deliberate medical indifference.” *Shapley v. Nev. Bd. of State 3 Prison Comm’rs*, 766 F.2d 404, 407 (9th Cir. 1985). Where a prisoner alleges that delay of 4 medical treatment evinces deliberate indifference, the prisoner must show the delay caused 5 “significant harm and that defendants should have known this to be the case.” *Hallett v. Morgan*, 6 296 F.3d 732, 745-46 (9th Cir. 2002). 7 Here, plaintiff’s sparse allegations about being denied medical treatment and medication 8 do not survive screening because plaintiff does not allege specific facts that show defendant 9 Tucker acted with deliberate indifference to plaintiff’s health or safety. Plaintiff has not alleged 10 any facts that show why defendant Tucker is responsible for the alleged deprivations. Merely 11 alleging that defendant Tucker denied plaintiff medical treatment and medications is insufficient 12 to state an Eighth Amendment deliberate indifference claim. 13 V. Leave to Amend 14 Plaintiff is granted leave to amend. See *Noll v. Carlson*, 809 F.2d 1446, 1448-49 (9th Cir. 15 1987). This opportunity to amend is not for the purposes of adding new and unrelated claims. See 16 *George v. Smith*, 507 F.3d 605, 607 (7th Cir. 2007). Local Rule 220 requires that an amended 17 complaint be complete without reference to any prior pleading. See *Loux v. Rhay*, 375 F.2d 55, 18 57 (9th Cir. 1967). 19 VI. Plain Language Summary for Pro Se Party 20 The following information is meant to explain this order in plain English. This summary 21 is not intended as legal advice. 22 Your complaint is being dismissed with leave to amend because you have not alleged 23 sufficient facts to state a claim based on the alleged denial or delay of medical care. If you choose 24 to file an amended complaint, include more facts about defendant Tucker’s purposeful acts or 25 failure to respond to your medical needs. 26 //// 27 //// 28

1 VII. Conclusion 2 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows: 3 1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is GRANTED. 4 2. Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed with leave to amend. 5 3. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of service of this order to file an amended 6 complaint that complies with the requirements of the Civil Rights Act, the Federal 7 Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Local Rules of Practice. The amended complaint 8 must bear the docket number assigned this case and must be labeled "First Amended 9 Complaint." Failure to file an amended complaint in accordance with this order will 10 result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. 11 | Dated: May 23, 2025 / a □□ / a Ly a

2 CAROLYN K.DELANEY

13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

14 15 8, plet2870.scrn 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28