

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF WEST VIRGINIA

William Chafin v. W. Va. Office of Insurance Commissioner/Independence Coal Company, Inc.

No. 11-1458 (BOR Appeal No. 2045696) (Claim No. 2005034854) · No. No. 11-1458 · Decided July 19, 2013

SUMMARY

The West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals affirmed the denial of William Chafin’s request to reopen his workers’ compensation claim for lumbar fusion surgery. The court agreed that the evidence did not establish that the 2010 disc herniation and surgery were causally related to his compensable 2005 workplace injury.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Per curiam; Justice Robin J. Davis; Justice Margaret L. Workman; Justice Allen H. Loughry II; Justice Menis E. Ketchum; Chief Justice Brent D. Benjamin
JURISDICTION	West Virginia
DECIDED	July 19, 2013
DOCKET	No. 11-1458
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Petition for appeal from the West Virginia Workers’ Compensation Board of Review’s final order affirming the Office of Judges’ order, which affirmed the claims administrator’s denial of reopening-related treatment.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The Court reviewed whether the Board of Review’s decision was in clear violation of a constitutional or statutory provision, clearly the result of erroneous conclusions of law, or based upon a material misstatement or mischaracterization of the evidentiary record.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Memorandum decision; the text does not expressly state its precedential status.
PARTIES	William Chafin v. West Virginia Office of Insurance Commissioner, Independence Coal Company, Inc.

TOPICS

workers compensation

administrative law

appellate procedure

standard of review

PRACTICE AREAS

workers compensation

administrative law

appellate procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the lumbar fusion surgery was reasonably required medical treatment causally related to Chafin's March 14, 2005, compensable workers' compensation injury.
2. Whether the Board of Review's decision affirming denial of the requested reopening-related treatment violated a constitutional or statutory provision, resulted from an erroneous legal conclusion, or rested on a material misstatement or mischaracterization of the evidence.

HOLDINGS

1. The lumbar fusion was not reasonably required medical treatment due to Chafin's March 14, 2005, compensable injury.
2. The Board of Review's decision was not in clear violation of a constitutional or statutory provision, was not clearly the result of an erroneous conclusion of law, and was not based on a material misstatement or mischaracterization of the evidentiary record.

KEY QUOTATIONS

"We agree with the reasoning and conclusions of the Office of Judges and the Board of Review that the lumbar fusion was not reasonably required due to the March 14, 2005, compensable injury." (at 2)

"For these reasons, we find that the decision of the Board of Review is not in clear violation of any constitutional or statutory provision, nor is it clearly the result of erroneous conclusions of law, nor is it based upon a material misstatement or mischaracterization of the evidentiary record." (at 2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

William Chafin, a mine supervisor, injured his lumbar region in a fall at work on March 14, 2005, and the injury claim was held compensable. After treatment and a permanent partial disability rating, he reported no low-back pain on May 24, 2006. In March 2010, he developed back pain and was diagnosed with a massive L5-S1 disc herniation requiring fusion surgery, which he sought to attribute to the 2005 compensable injury. The record did not contain medical evidence linking the 2010 condition or surgery to the compensable injury.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The claims administrator denied the requested reopening-related treatment on July 1, 2010. The Workers' Compensation Office of Judges affirmed that order on March 9, 2011, and the Board of Review affirmed on September 30, 2011. The Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia reviewed the Board's decision and affirmed it by memorandum decision.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/west-virginia-supreme-court-of-appeals-of-west-virginia/2013/william-chafin-v-w-v-a-office-of-insurance-commissioner-34padwo0>