

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
FIRST DEPARTMENT

Matter of E.O. v. O.O.

2026 NY Slip Op 02928 · No. Docket No. O-10573/24; Appeal No. 6590; Case No. 2024-07854 · Decided May 12, 2026

SUMMARY

The Appellate Division, First Department unanimously affirmed the dismissal of a family offense petition and the vacatur of a temporary order of protection. The court held that petitioner failed to establish by a fair preponderance of the evidence that respondent committed acts warranting an order of protection and found no basis to disturb the lower court's credibility determinations.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Scarpulla, J.P.; Mendez, J.; Shulman, J.; Rodriguez, J.; Hagler, J.
JURISDICTION	New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department
DECIDED	May 12, 2026
DOCKET	Docket No. O-10573/24; Appeal No. 6590; Case No. 2024-07854
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appeal from an order of Family Court, Bronx County, dismissing a family offense petition and vacating a temporary order of protection.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The Appellate Division reviewed the Family Court's fact findings and credibility determinations and found no basis to disturb them; the petitioner bore the burden of proving the alleged family offense by a fair preponderance of the evidence.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published Appellate Division decision; the opinion states that it is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.
PARTIES	E.O. v. O.O.

TOPICS

- family law procedure domestic violence appellate procedure standard of review burden of proof

PRACTICE AREAS

Family law

Family offense proceedings

Orders of protection

Appellate review

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether Family Court properly dismissed the family offense petition for failure to prove by a fair preponderance of the evidence that respondent committed a qualifying family offense or acts warranting an order of protection.
2. Whether the Appellate Division had a basis to disturb Family Court's credibility determination favoring respondent over petitioner.

HOLDINGS

1. Family Court properly dismissed the petition because petitioner failed to establish by a fair preponderance of the evidence that respondent committed any acts warranting an order of protection in petitioner's favor.
2. The Appellate Division found no basis to disturb Family Court's finding that respondent was more credible than petitioner.

KEY QUOTATIONS

*“Family Court properly dismissed the family offense petition, as petitioner failed to establish by a fair preponderance of the evidence that respondent had committed any acts warranting an order of protection in petitioner's favor” ([*1])*

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Petitioner sought an order of protection based on alleged family-offense conduct by respondent. After a fact-finding hearing, Family Court credited respondent over petitioner and determined that petitioner had not established that respondent committed any family offense or acts warranting an order of protection.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Following a fact-finding hearing, Family Court found that petitioner failed to establish that respondent committed a family offense, dismissed the petition, and vacated the temporary order of protection. Petitioner appealed, and the Appellate Division unanimously affirmed without costs.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

CASES CITED


- Matter of Tawanna R. v. Michael E.G., 226 A.D.3d 524, 524 (1st Dep't 2024)
- Matter of Sherida L. v. Hoggarth S., 237 A.D.3d 541, 542 (1st Dep't 2025)

OPINION

PER CURIAM.


Matter of E.O. v O.O. - 2026 NY Slip Op 02928 skip to main content

It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website.

Law Reporting Bureau

Thomas J.K.

Smith, State Reporter Court Decisions Resources About



Home

All Court Decisions Decisions

Matter of E.O. v O.O.

2026 NY Slip Op 02928

May 12, 2026

Appellate Division, First Department

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

In the Matter of E.O., Appellant,

v

O.O., Respondent.

Decided and Entered: May 12, 2026

Docket No. O-10573/24|Appeal No. 6590|Case No. 2024-07854|

Before: Scarpulla, J.P., Mendez, Shulman, Rodriguez, Hagler, JJ.

Daniel X. Robinson, New York, for appellant.

Order, Family Court, Bronx County (Teresa J. Grogan, Referee), entered on or about December 13, 2024, which, following a fact-finding hearing, found petitioner failed to establish respondent committed any family offense, dismissed the petition, and vacated the temporary order of protection, unanimously affirmed, without costs.

Family Court properly dismissed the family offense petition, as petitioner failed to establish by a fair preponderance of the evidence that respondent had committed any acts warranting an order of protection in petitioner's favor (*see Matter of Tawanna R. v Michael E.G.*, 226 AD3d 524, 524 [1st Dept 2024]).

We find no basis to disturb the court's findings that respondent was more credible than petitioner (*see Matter of Sherida L. v Hoggarth S.*, 237 AD3d 541, 542 [1st Dept 2025]).

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT.

ENTERED: May 12, 2026

Court Decisions All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search

Resources RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index

About About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter

Quick Contact Info

17 Lodge Street

Albany, NY 12207

Phone: (518) 453-6900


Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.

PER CURIAM.

Matter of E.O. v O.O. - 2026 NY Slip Op 02928

skip to main content

It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website.




Law Reporting Bureau Home Page

Law Reporting Bureau

Thomas J.K.

Smith, State Reporter

Court Decisions Resources About



Home

All Court Decisions

Decisions

Matter of E.O. v O.O.

2026 NY Slip Op 02928

May 12, 2026

Appellate Division, First Department

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

In the Matter of E.O., Appellant,

v

O.O., Respondent.

Decided and Entered: May 12, 2026

Docket No. O-10573/24|Appeal No. 6590|Case No. 2024-07854|

Before: Scarpulla, J.P., Mendez, Shulman, Rodriguez, Hagler, JJ.

Daniel X. Robinson, New York, for appellant.

[*1]

Order, Family Court, Bronx County (Teresa J. Grogan, Referee), entered on or about December 13, 2024, which, following a fact-finding hearing, found petitioner failed to establish respondent committed any family offense, dismissed the petition, and vacated the temporary order of protection, unanimously affirmed, without costs.

Family Court properly dismissed the family offense petition, as petitioner failed to establish by a fair preponderance of the evidence that respondent had committed any acts warranting an order of protection in petitioner's favor (*see Matter of Tawanna R. v Michael E.G.*, 226 AD3d 524, 524 [1st Dept 2024]).

We find no basis to disturb the

court's findings that respondent was more credible than petitioner (*see Matter of Sherida L. v Hoggarth S.*, 237 AD3d 541, 542 [1st Dept 2025]).

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER

OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT.

ENTERED: May 12, 2026

Court Decisions

All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search

Resources

RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index

About

About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter

Quick Contact Info

17 Lodge Street

Albany, NY 12207


Phone: (518) 453-6900

Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.

PER CURIAM.

Smith, State Reporter

[Court Decisions Resources About](#)



[reporter/images/lrb-seal.png](#)

[Home](#)

[All Court Decisions](#)

[Decisions](#)

Matter of E.O. v O.O.

2026 NY Slip Op 02928

May 12, 2026

Appellate Division, First Department

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

In the Matter of E.O., Appellant,
v
O.O., Respondent.

Decided and Entered: May 12, 2026

Docket No. O-10573/24|Appeal No. 6590|Case No. 2024-07854|

Before: Scarpulla, J.P., Mendez, Shulman, Rodriguez, Hagler, JJ.

Daniel X. Robinson, New York, for appellant.

[*1]

Order, Family Court, Bronx County (Teresa J. Grogan, Referee), entered on or about December 13, 2024, which, following a fact-finding hearing, found petitioner failed to establish respondent committed any family offense, dismissed the petition, and vacated the temporary order of protection, unanimously affirmed, without costs.

Family Court properly dismissed the family offense petition, as petitioner failed to establish by a fair preponderance of the evidence that respondent had committed any acts warranting an order of protection in petitioner's favor (*see Matter of Tawanna R. v Michael E.G.*, 226 AD3d 524, 524 [1st Dept 2024]).

We find no basis to disturb the court's findings that respondent was more credible than petitioner (*see* Matter of Sherida L. v Hoggarth S., 237 AD3d 541, 542 [1st Dept 2025]).

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT.

ENTERED: May 12, 2026

Court Decisions

All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search

Resources

RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index

About

About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter

Quick Contact Info

17 Lodge Street

Albany, NY 12207

Phone: (518) 453-6900

Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.

PER CURIAM.

Matter of E.O. v O.O. - 2026 NY Slip Op 02928 skip to main content It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website. Law Reporting Bureau Thomas J.K. Smith, State Reporter Court Decisions Resources About Home All Court Decisions Decisions Matter of E.O. v O.O. 2026 NY Slip Op 02928 May 12, 2026 Appellate Division, First Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. In the Matter of E.O., Appellant, v O.O., Respondent. Decided and Entered: May 12, 2026 Docket No. O-10573/24|Appeal No. 6590|Case No. 2024-07854| Before: Scarpulla, J.P., Mendez, Shulman, Rodriguez, Hagler, JJ. Daniel X. Robinson, New York, for appellant. [*1] Order, Family Court, Bronx County (Teresa J. Grogan, Referee), entered on or about December 13, 2024, which, following a fact-finding hearing, found petitioner failed to establish respondent committed any family offense, dismissed the petition, and vacated the temporary order of protection, unanimously affirmed, without costs.

Family Court properly dismissed the family offense petition, as petitioner failed to establish by a fair preponderance of the evidence that respondent had committed any acts warranting an order of protection in petitioner's favor (see Matter of Tawanna R. v Michael E.G., 226 AD3d 524, 524 [1st Dept 2024]).

We find no basis to disturb the court's findings that respondent was more credible than petitioner (see Matter of Sherida L. v Hoggarth S., 237 AD3d 541, 542 [1st Dept 2025]). THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT. ENTERED: May 12, 2026 Court Decisions All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search Resources RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index About About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter Quick Contact Info 17 Lodge Street Albany, NY 12207 Phone: (518) 453-6900 Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.