

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
FIRST DEPARTMENT

Matter of E.O. v. O.O.

2026 NY Slip Op 02928 · No. Docket No. O-10573/24; Appeal No. 6590; Case No. 2024-07854 · Decided May 12, 2026

OPINION

Matter of E.O. v. O.O. 2026 NY Slip Op 02928

PER CURIAM.

Matter of E.O. v O.O. - 2026 NY Slip Op 02928 skip to main content

It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website.

Law Reporting Bureau Home Page

Law Reporting Bureau

Thomas J.K. Smith, State Reporter

Court Decisions

Resources About

Home

All Court Decisions

Decisions

Matter of E.O. v O.O.

2026 NY Slip Op 02928

May 12, 2026

Appellate Division, First Department

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

In the Matter of E.O., Appellant,

v

O.O., Respondent.

Decided and Entered: May 12, 2026

Docket No. O-10573/24|Appeal No. 6590|Case No. 2024-07854|

Before: Scarpulla, J.P., Mendez, Shulman, Rodriguez, Hagler, JJ.

Daniel X. Robinson, New York, for appellant.

[*1]

Order, Family Court, Bronx County (Teresa J. Grogan, Referee), entered on or about December 13, 2024, which, following a fact-finding hearing, found petitioner failed to establish respondent committed any family offense, dismissed the petition, and vacated the temporary order of protection, unanimously affirmed, without costs.

Family Court properly dismissed the family offense petition, as petitioner failed to establish by a fair preponderance of the evidence that respondent had committed any acts warranting an order of protection in petitioner's favor (*see* Matter of Tawanna R. v Michael E.G., 226 AD3d 524, 524 [1st Dept 2024]). We find no basis to disturb the

court's findings that respondent was more credible than petitioner (*see* Matter of Sherida L. v Hoggarth S., 237 AD3d 541, 542 [1st Dept 2025]).

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT.

ENTERED: May 12, 2026

Court Decisions

All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search

Resources

RSS Feeds Style

PER CURIAM.

Matter of E.O. v O.O. - 2026 NY Slip Op 02928

skip to main content

It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website.



Law Reporting Bureau Home PageLaw Reporting Bureau

Thomas J.K. Smith, State Reporter

Court Decisions

Resources About



Home

All Court Decisions

Decisions

Matter of E.O. v O.O.

2026 NY Slip Op 02928

May 12, 2026

Appellate Division, First Department

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

In the Matter of E.O., Appellant,

v

O.O., Respondent.

Decided and Entered: May 12, 2026

Docket No. O-10573/24|Appeal No. 6590|Case No. 2024-07854|

Before: Scarpulla, J.P., Mendez, Shulman, Rodriguez, Hagler, JJ.

Daniel X. Robinson, New York, for appellant.

[*1]

Order, Family Court, Bronx County (Teresa J. Grogan, Referee), entered on or about December 13, 2024, which, following a fact-finding hearing, found petitioner failed to establish respondent committed any family offense, dismissed the petition, and vacated the temporary order of protection, unanimously affirmed, without costs.

Family Court properly dismissed the family offense petition, as petitioner failed to establish by a fair preponderance of the evidence that respondent had committed any acts warranting an order of protection in petitioner's favor (*see* Matter of Tawanna R. v Michael E.G., 226 AD3d 524, 524 [1st Dept 2024]). We find no basis to disturb the

court's findings that respondent was more credible than petitioner (*see* Matter of Sherida L. v Hoggarth S., 237 AD3d 541, 542 [1st Dept 2025]).

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER

OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT.

ENTERED: May 12, 2026

Court Decisions

All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search

Resources

RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index

About

About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter

Quick Contact Info

17 Lodge Street

Albany, NY 12207

Phone: (518) 453-6900

Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.

PER CURIAM.

Matter of E.O. v O.O. - 2026 NY Slip Op 02928

skip to main content


It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website.

Law Reporting Bureau

Thomas J.K. Smith, State Reporter

Court Decisions

Resources About



Home

All Court Decisions

Decisions

Matter of E.O. v O.O.

2026 NY Slip Op 02928

May 12, 2026

Appellate Division, First Department

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

In the Matter of E.O., Appellant,

v

O.O., Respondent.

Decided and Entered: May 12, 2026

Docket No. O-10573/24|Appeal No. 6590|Case No. 2024-07854|

Before: Scarpulla, J.P., Mendez, Shulman, Rodriguez, Hagler, JJ.

Daniel X. Robinson, New York, for appellant.

[*1]

Order, Family Court, Bronx County (Teresa J. Grogan, Referee), entered on or about December 13, 2024, which, following a fact-finding hearing, found petitioner failed to establish respondent committed any family offense, dismissed the petition, and vacated the temporary order of protection, unanimously affirmed, without costs.

Family Court properly dismissed the family offense petition, as petitioner failed to establish by a fair preponderance of the evidence that respondent had committed any acts warranting an order of protection in petitioner's favor (*see* Matter of Tawanna R. v Michael E.G., 226 AD3d 524, 524 [1st Dept 2024]). We find no basis to disturb the

court's findings that respondent was more credible than petitioner (*see* Matter of Sherida L. v Hoggarth S., 237 AD3d 541, 542 [1st Dept 2025]).

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT.

ENTERED: May 12, 2026

Court Decisions

All Court Decisions

Official Reports

Service Bound Volumes

Decision Search

Resources

RSS Feeds

Style Manual

Citation Tools

Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines

Opinion Selection Criteria

Legal Research Portal

Site Index

About

About the Law Reporting Bureau

About our Operations

Contact Us

Twitter

Quick Contact Info

17 Lodge Street

Albany, NY 12207

Phone: (518) 453-6900

Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.

PER CURIAM.

Matter of E.O. v O.O. - 2026 NY Slip Op 02928 skip to main content It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website. Law Reporting Bureau Thomas J.K. Smith, State Reporter Court Decisions Resources About Home All Court Decisions Decisions Matter of E.O. v O.O. 2026 NY Slip Op 02928 May 12, 2026 Appellate Division, First Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. In the Matter of E.O., Appellant, v O.O., Respondent. Decided and Entered: May 12, 2026 Docket No. O-10573/24|Appeal No. 6590|Case No. 2024-07854| Before: Scarpulla, J.P., Mendez, Shulman, Rodriguez, Hagler, JJ. Daniel X. Robinson, New York, for appellant. [*1] Order, Family Court, Bronx County (Teresa J. Grogan, Referee), entered on or about December 13, 2024, which, following a fact-finding hearing, found petitioner failed to establish respondent committed any family offense, dismissed the petition, and vacated the temporary order of protection, unanimously affirmed, without costs. Family Court properly dismissed the family offense petition, as petitioner failed to establish by a fair preponderance of the evidence that respondent had committed any acts warranting an order of protection in petitioner's favor (see Matter of Tawanna R. v Michael E.G. , 226 AD3d 524 , 524 [1st Dept 2024]). We find no basis to disturb the court's findings that respondent was more credible than petitioner (see Matter of Sherida L. v Hoggarth S. , 237 AD3d 541 , 542 [1st Dept 2025]). THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT. ENTERED: May 12, 2026 Court Decisions All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search Resources RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index About About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter Quick Contact Info 17 Lodge Street Albany, NY 12207 Phone: (518) 453-6900 Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.