

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Securities and Exchange Commission v. John Mark Marino

No. 2:23-cv-00403 CAS(AFMx) (C.D. Cal. Aug. 26, 2025) · No. 2:23-cv-00403 CAS(AFMx) · Decided August 26, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California entered a default final judgment against John Mark Marino in an enforcement action brought by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The judgment permanently enjoins Marino from violating specified federal securities laws, participating in certain unregistered securities offerings, and serving as an officer or director of certain issuers, and requires payment of \$323,547.52 in disgorgement, prejudgment interest, and civil penalties.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Central District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Christina A. Snyder
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Central District of California
DECIDED	August 26, 2025
DOCKET	2:23-cv-00403 CAS(AFMx)
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The Securities and Exchange Commission applied for default judgment against Defendant John Mark Marino after default was entered.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court applied the Rule 55 default-judgment framework, including the Eitel factors.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown

TOPICS

- default judgment
- injunctions
- remedies
- commercial litigation
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- Securities enforcement
- Civil procedure
- Federal remedies

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether default judgment should be entered against Marino under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55 and the Eitel factors.
2. What injunctive, officer-and-director, disgorgement, prejudgment-interest, and civil-penalty remedies should be imposed upon entry of default judgment.

HOLDINGS

1. Default judgment against Marino was appropriate and the SEC's application for default judgment was granted.
2. Marino was permanently restrained and enjoined from directly or indirectly violating Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5.
3. Marino was permanently restrained and enjoined from directly or indirectly violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 in the offer or sale of securities.
4. Marino was permanently restrained and enjoined from participating directly or indirectly in the issuance, purchase, offer, or sale of securities in an unregistered offering, subject to an exception for securities transactions in his own personal account.
5. Marino was prohibited from acting as an officer or director of an issuer subject to the specified Exchange Act registration or reporting requirements.
6. Marino was held liable for \$65,700 in disgorgement, \$21,396.52 in prejudgment interest, and a \$236,451 civil penalty, for a total payment of \$323,547.52 to the SEC within 30 days after entry of judgment.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“The Court finds that entry of default judgment is appropriate” (at 1)

“Defendant is liable for disgorgement of \$65,700, representing net profits gained as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint, together with prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$21,396.52, and a civil penalty in the amount of \$236,451” (at 3)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The SEC alleged that Marino engaged in conduct violating federal securities laws, including Sections 10(b) and 17(a), and participated in an unregistered securities offering. The judgment states that the court considered the SEC's complaint, application for default judgment, supporting declaration, and other evidence and argument, but does not provide detailed factual findings concerning the underlying conduct.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The SEC filed an enforcement action against Marino and other defendants. On the SEC's application for default judgment, the court considered the complaint, supporting materials, evidence, argument, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55, Local Rule 55-1, and the Eitel factors, granted the application, and entered final judgment against Marino.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-central-district-of-cal/2025/securities-and-exchange-commission-v-john-mark-marino-37nl7kf4>