

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Kevin Hagan v. Dr. Grace Woo, et al.

Hagan v. Woo · No. 1:25-cv-00116-JLT-EPG (PC) · Decided December 31, 2025

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 KEVIN HAGAN, No. 1:25-cv-00116-JLT-EPG (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER
ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RECOMMENDING 13 v. THAT THIS
ACTION BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM 14 DR. GRACE WOO, et al.,
(Doc. 16) 15 Defendants. 16 17 Kevin Hagan is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this
civil rights action filed 18 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On January 28, 2025, Plaintiff filed an initial complaint alleging 19 that he was denied proper
medical care while incarcerated and that CDCR was retaliating against him for his prior lawsuits.
(Doc. 1.) On June 24, 2025, the Court screened Plaintiff's complaint, 20 found that the complaint
failed to state any cognizable claims, and gave Plaintiff leave to file an 21 amended complaint.

(Doc. 12.) On August 22, 2025, Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint 22 (Doc. 15.), which the
Court screened and found to fail to state a claim. (Doc. 16.) 23 On October 29, 2025, the assigned
magistrate judge issued Findings and 24 Recommendations recommending dismissing this action
for failure to state a claim. (Doc. 16.) 25 The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on
Plaintiff and informed him that 26 objections were due in 30 days.

(Id. at 9.) Plaintiff was further is advised that failure to file 27 objections within the specified time
may result in the waiver of rights on appeal. (Id. (citing 28 1 Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834,
838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) No objections were filed and the 2 | time to do so has passed. 3 According
to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this Court has conducted a de 4 | novo review of
this case.

Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings 5 || and recommendations to
be supported by the record and proper analysis. 6 | Thus, the Court ORDERS: 7 1. The findings
and recommendations issued on October 29, 2025, are ADOPTED 8 IN FULL. (Doc. 16.) 9 2.
This action is dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to state a cognizable claim 10 upon which
relief may be granted; and 11 3.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. 12 3 IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 Dated: _
December 31, 2025 Charis [Tourn TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
24 25 26 27 28

