

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Matthew Jackson v. Unicity International, Inc., et al.

Jackson v. Unicity · No. 2:25-cv-00738-GMN-NJK · Decided January 23, 2026

OPINION

Matthew Jackson v. Unicity International, Inc.

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

4

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 6], Matthew Jackson, Case No. 2:25-cv-00738-GMN-NJK 7 Plaintiff, Order v. [Docket No. 53]
9 Unicity International, Inc., et al., 10 Defendant. 11 Pending before the Court is a stipulated
confidentiality agreement and proposed protective 12] order. Docket No. 53. Parties are permitted
to agree to discovery procedures, including 13] confidentiality protections, without judicial
approval. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 29(b); see also Cross v. 14] Walmart, Inc., 350 F.R.D. 395, 398-99
(D. Nev. 2025). The stipulation does not explain why 15] judicial oversight is required as to the
parties' discovery agreement. To the contrary, the 16] stipulation acknowledges the binding effect
of this agreement without judicial approval. See Docket No. 53 at 11 ("Counsel agree to be bound
by the terms set forth herein with regard to any 18] Confidential Materials that have been
produced before the Court signs this Stipulation and 19] Protective Order"). Accordingly, the
Court DENIES without prejudice the stipulated confidentiality agreement and proposed protective
order. 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 Dated: January 23, 2026. 23 ZEN EZ. Nancy J. Ko 24 United
States Magistrate Judge 25 26 27 28