

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
ILLINOIS

Jason Hershey v. Hardin County Sheriff's Department

Hershey · No. 26-cv-00033-JPG · Decided February 12, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois held that the Hardin County Sheriff’s Department is not a suable entity under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court dismissed the complaint without prejudice under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), reserved ruling on the motion to proceed in forma pauperis, and granted leave to amend by March 16, 2026.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois
WRITING FOR THE COURT	J. Phil Gilbert
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois
DECIDED	February 12, 2026
DOCKET	26-cv-00033-JPG
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff moved for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. The district court found Plaintiff indigent but dismissed the complaint without prejudice during statutory screening under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), reserving ruling on the in forma pauperis motion and allowing amendment.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), the court screens an in forma pauperis complaint and may dismiss it if frivolous, malicious, or failing to state a claim. A complaint fails to state a claim when it does not plead enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Nonprecedential district court memorandum and order

TOPICS

- section 1983
- civil rights
- pleadings
- prisoners rights
- cruel and unusual punishment

PRACTICE AREAS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the complaint stated a cognizable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against the Hardin County Sheriff's Department.
2. Whether the complaint should be dismissed during in forma pauperis screening under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

HOLDINGS

1. The Hardin County Sheriff's Department is not a suable entity under § 1983; Plaintiff must instead sue the individual jail officers involved or the county itself.
2. A § 1983 claim against an individual jail officer requires allegations that the officer deprived the plaintiff of a constitutional or federal statutory right while acting under color of state law; a municipality may be liable only for a policy, widespread practice or custom, or action by a final policymaker that caused the constitutional violation.
3. The complaint was subject to dismissal without prejudice under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) or (ii) because it failed to state a claim.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“His claim fails because the Hardin County Sheriff’s Department is not a suable entity under § 1983.” (at 2)

“As such, it RESERVES RULING on the Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis (Doc. 4) and DISMISSES the Complaint (Doc. 3) without prejudice under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) or (ii).” (at 2-3)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff alleged that the Hardin County Sheriff's Department was deliberately indifferent to his medical needs in violation of the Eighth Amendment. He brought the claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court focused on the legal status of the named defendant and concluded that the Sheriff's Department was not a suable entity under § 1983.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Jason Hershey filed a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against the Hardin County Sheriff's Department and moved for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. The court determined that the complaint failed to state a claim because the Sheriff's Department was not a suable entity under § 1983. The complaint was dismissed without prejudice, and Plaintiff was given until March 16, 2026, to file an amended complaint.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989)
- Corgain v. Miller, 708 F.2d 1241, 1247 (7th Cir. 1983)
- Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)
- Lucien v. Roegner, 682 F.2d 625, 626 (7th Cir. 1982)
- Averhart v. City of Chicago, 114 F. App'x 246, 247 (7th Cir. 2004)
- Chan v. Wodnicki, 123 F.3d 1005, 1007 (7th Cir. 1997)
- Gomez v. Toledo, 446 U.S. 635, 640 (1980)
- McKinney v. Duplain, 463 F.3d 679, 683 (7th Cir. 2006)
- Brokaw v. Mercer Co., 235 F.3d 1000, 1009 (7th Cir. 2000)
- Monell v. New York City Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 694 (1978)
- McCormick v. City of Chicago, 230 F.3d 319, 324 (7th Cir. 2000)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-southern-district-of-il/2026/jason-hershey-v-hardin-county-sheriff-s-department-3zu88su0>