

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Edwards v. Singh

Edwards · No. 2:24-cv-2480-JDP (P) · Decided May 22, 2025

OPINION

(PC) Edwards v. Singh

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 ROBERTO J. EDWARDS, Case No. 2:24-cv-2480-JDP (P) 12 Plaintiff,

13 v. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

14 R. SINGH, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 On April 17, 2025, I screened plaintiff’s first amended
complaint and notified him that it 18 did not state a claim. ECF No. 18. I ordered him to file,
within thirty days, either an amended 19 complaint or a voluntary notice of dismissal. Id. To date,
plaintiff has not filed any response. 20 The court has the inherent power to control its docket and
may, in the exercise of that 21 power, impose sanctions where appropriate, including dismissal.
Bautista v. Los Angeles Cnty., 22 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000); see Local Rule 110 (“Failure
of counsel or of a party to 23 comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be
grounds for imposition by the 24 Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of
the Court.”). A court may dismiss 25 an action based on a party’s failure to prosecute an action,
failure to obey a court order, or failure 26 to comply with local rules. See Henderson v. Duncan,
779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) 27 (dismissal for lack of prosecution and failure to comply
with local rules). 28 I will give plaintiff a chance to explain why the court should not dismiss the
case for his 1 | failure to file an amended complaint. Plaintiffs failure to respond to this order will
constitute a 2 | failure to comply with a court order and will result in a recommendation that this
action be 3 | dismissed. Accordingly, plaintiff is ordered to show cause within twenty-one days
why this case 4 | should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court
orders. Should 5 | plaintiff wish to continue with this lawsuit, he shall file, within twenty-one
days, an amended 6 | complaint. 7 g IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: _ May 22, 2025 q———

10 JEREMY D. PETERSON

i UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28