

**COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO, TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, BUTLER
COUNTY**

State v. Wysong

2026-Ohio-2450 · No. CA2025-12-136 · Decided June 29, 2026

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

[Cite as State v. Wysong, 2026-Ohio-2450.] IN THE COURT OF APPEALS TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO BUTLER COUNTY STATE OF OHIO,: Appellee,: CASE NO. CA2025-12-136: DECISION AND - vs - JUDGMENT ENTRY: 6/29/2026 JOSHUA WYSONG,: Appellant,: CRIMINAL APPEAL FROM BUTLER COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS Case No. CR2025-09-1221 Michael T. Gmoser, Butler County Prosecuting Attorney, and Willa Concannon, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, for appellee.

Susannah M. Meyer, for appellant. _ _ DECISION Per Curiam. {¶1} This cause came on to be considered upon a notice of appeal filed by appellant, Joshua Wysong, the transcript of the docket and journal entries, the transcript Butler CA2025-12-136 of proceedings and original papers from the Butler County Court of Common Pleas, and upon the brief filed by appellant's counsel. {¶2} Appellant's counsel has filed a brief with this court pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), which (1) indicates that a careful review of the record from the proceedings below fails to disclose any errors by the trial court prejudicial to the rights of appellant upon which an assignment of error may be predicated; (2) lists one potential error "that might arguably support the appeal," *Anders* at 744; (3) requests that this court review the record independently to determine whether the proceedings are free from prejudicial error and without infringement of appellant's constitutional rights; (4) requests permission to withdraw as counsel for appellant on the basis that the appeal is wholly frivolous; and (5) certifies that a copy of both the brief and motion to withdraw have been served upon appellant. {¶3} Having allowed appellant sufficient time to respond, and no response having been received, we have accordingly examined the record and find no error prejudicial to appellant's rights in the proceedings in the trial court.

The motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous. BYRNE, P.J., PIPER and M. POWELL, JJ., concur. -2- Butler CA2025-12-136 _ _ JUDGMENT ENTRY The brief of appellant, filed pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), properly before this court and having been considered by the court, it is ordered that the motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is hereby dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous.

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/ohio-court-of-appeals-twelfth-appellate-district-butler-county-court-of-appeals-of-ohio-twelfth-apppe/2026/state-v-wysong-430rvtsg>