

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Jenny, et al. v. Joseph Andrew Mollick

Jenny, et al. v. Mollick, No. 23-cv-05634-VC (N.D. Cal. Feb. 27, 2025) · No. 23-cv-05634-VC · Decided
February 27, 2025

OPINION

Jenny v. Mollick

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JENNY, et al., Case No. 23-cv-05634-VC Plaintiffs,

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND

Vv. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MOTION

FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT

JOSEPH ANDREW MOLLICK,

Re: Dkt. No. 29 Defendant. The Court adopts Judge Alex G. Tse's Report and Recommendation
Re: Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment. The Court initially vacated its adoption of this Report
to allow Mollick more time to respond to the motion for default judgment. See Dkt. No. 32. Since
then, Mollick has sent several letters asking the Court to further delay proceedings, but he has not
made any argument why default judgment should not be entered against him under the Eitel v.
McCool factors. 782 F.2d 1470 (9th Cir. 1986). Accordingly, the Motion for Default Judgment is
GRANTED. Judgment will be entered against the defendant and for the plaintiffs in the amount of
\$2,133,776.64—a sum consisting of \$2,100,000 in liquidated damages (\$150,000 for each of the
fourteen plaintiffs), \$32,170 in attorneys' fees, and \$1,606.64 in litigation costs. IT IS SO
ORDERED. Dated: February 27, 2025 =

VINCE CHHABRIA

United States District Judge