

APPELLATE DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, THIRD DEPARTMENT

Grant v. Golub Corp.

2026 NY Slip Op 04013 (Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York Third Department 2026) · No. CV-24-1539 · Decided June 25, 2026

SUMMARY

The Appellate Division, Third Department reversed an order granting defendants summary judgment in a negligence action arising from a trip-and-fall at a grocery store. The court held that defendants did not establish as a matter of law that the protruding cases of water were open, obvious, and not inherently dangerous, and that plaintiff raised triable issues regarding causation and the hazardous nature of the display.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Third Department
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Ceresia, J.; Aarons, J.P.; Pritzker, J.; Fisher, J.; McShan, J.
JURISDICTION	New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Third Department
DECIDED	June 25, 2026
DOCKET	CV-24-1539
DISPOSITION	reversed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appeal from an order granting defendants' motion for summary judgment dismissing a negligence complaint arising from a trip-and-fall accident in a grocery store.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	De novo review of an order granting summary judgment; the evidence is viewed in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party, with the benefit of every favorable inference.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published appellate decision
PARTIES	Katrina Grant, as Administrator of the Estate of David Grant, Deceased v. The Golub Corporation et al.

TOPICS

- premises liability
- negligence
- personal injury
- summary judgment
- standard of review

PRACTICE AREAS

premises liability

negligence

personal injury

civil procedure

appellate procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether defendants established as a matter of law that the endcap display and protruding cases of water were open and obvious and not inherently dangerous.
2. Whether plaintiff's inability to identify the precise object or location that caused Grant's fall required dismissal because the causation theory was speculative.
3. Whether plaintiff raised a triable issue of fact regarding whether the endcap display was inherently dangerous.

HOLDINGS

1. Defendants failed to establish as a matter of law that the endcap display, with the lowest cases of water projecting into the aisle, was open, obvious, and not inherently dangerous.
2. Plaintiff's inability to identify the exact object or its precise location did not require dismissal because negligence and causation could reasonably be inferred from circumstantial evidence.
3. Plaintiff raised a triable issue of fact as to whether the endcap display was inherently dangerous.

KEY QUOTATIONS

*"Ordinarily, a defendant moving for summary judgment in a trip and fall case must establish that its property had been maintained in a reasonably safe condition and that it neither created nor had actual or constructive notice of the dangerous condition that caused the plaintiff's fall" ([*1])*

*"The determination of whether a property condition constitutes an actionable defect or is open and obvious generally falls within the province of a jury, as it requires consideration of the unique facts presented by the case before it" ([*1])*

*"even when a plaintiff is unable to identify the cause of a fall with certainty, a case of negligence based wholly on circumstantial evidence may be established if the plaintiff shows facts and conditions from which the negligence of the defendant and the causation of the accident by that negligence may be reasonably inferred" ([*2])*

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

David Grant tripped and fell while walking around the corner at the end of a grocery aisle in a Price Chopper store. He described stepping on or over something soft and plastic-like, which he believed was a case of water bottles on the ground or protruding from an endcap display. His widow testified that cases of water were hanging over the display edge, surveillance video showed Grant tripping as a case of water fell from the display, and a photograph showed the lowest cases protruding farther into the aisle than the cases above them. A retail-safety expert opined that the display was hazardous because the lower cases were below a typical customer's line of sight and did not conform to applicable industry standards.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

David Grant commenced a negligence action after tripping and falling at a Price Chopper grocery store owned by defendants. Following joinder of issue and discovery, defendants moved for summary judgment, which the Supreme Court granted and dismissed the complaint. Grant died during the appeal, and his widow, Katrina Grant, was substituted as administrator of his estate. The Appellate Division reversed and denied defendants' summary judgment motion.

DISPOSITION

reversed

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

The order granting defendants' summary judgment motion is reversed, with costs, and defendants' motion for summary judgment is denied.

CASES CITED

- Osterhoudt v Acme Mkts., Inc., 214 AD3d 1181, 1181-1182 [3d Dept 2023]
- Marra v Zaichenko, 214 AD3d 1165, 1166 [3d Dept 2023]
- Westbrook v WR Activities-Cabrera Mkts., 5 AD3d 69, 71 [1st Dept 2004]
- Rhee v Apple, Inc., 244 AD3d 1149, 1150 [2d Dept 2025]
- Catman v Back Water Grille LLC, 225 AD3d 966, 967 [3d Dept 2024]
- Santiago v National Grid USA Serv. Co., Inc., 235 AD3d 1204, 1205 [3d Dept 2025]
- Legon v Petaks, 70 AD3d 457, 459 [1st Dept 2010]
- Villano v Strathmore Terrace Homeowners Assn., Inc., 76 AD3d 1061, 1062 [2d Dept 2010]
- Cook v Consolidated Edison Co. of NY, Inc., 51 AD3d 447, 448 [1st Dept 2008]
- Amici v Mazza, 234 AD3d 1170, 1172 [3d Dept 2025], lv denied 44 NY3d 902 [2025]
- Flanger v 2461 Elm Realty Corp., 123 AD3d 1196, 1197 [3d Dept 2014]
- De Conno v Golub Corp., 255 AD2d 734, 735 [3d Dept 1998]
- Bovee v Posniewski Enters., Inc., 206 AD3d 1112, 1113 [3d Dept 2022]
- Mulligan v R & D Props. of N.Y. Inc., 162 AD3d 1301, 1301 [3d Dept 2018]
- Springer v Cone, 111 AD3d 1226, 1227 [3d Dept 2013]
- McGrath v Shenendehowa Cent. School Dist., 76 AD3d 755, 758 [3d Dept 2010]