

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Kim Pinckard v. Melinda Strohmeyer Pace, Karen Strohmeyer Morgan, Anthony Kendrick Strohmeyer, Amy Ruth Strohmeyer Strazza, and Sara Ann Strohmeyer Hankins

No. 10-26-00033-CV (Tex. App.—Waco June 18, 2026) · No. 10-26-00033-CV · Decided June 18, 2026

SUMMARY

The Tenth Court of Appeals of Texas dismissed Kim Pinckard’s appeal for want of prosecution and failure to comply with the Clerk’s directive. The appellant failed to file an appellate brief or a response explaining grounds for continuing the appeal.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals for the Tenth Appellate District of Texas
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Justice Lee Harris; Chief Justice Johnson; Justice Smith; Justice Harris
JURISDICTION	Court of Appeals for the Tenth Appellate District of Texas
DECIDED	June 18, 2026
DOCKET	10-26-00033-CV
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appellant's appeal was dismissed after she failed to file an appellate brief or a response showing grounds for continuing the appeal after receiving two notices from the clerk.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published memorandum opinion; no reporter citation appears in the provided text.
PARTIES	Kim Pinckard v. Melinda Strohmeyer Pace, Karen Strohmeyer Morgan, Anthony Kendrick Strohmeyer, Amy Ruth Strohmeyer Strazza, Sara Ann Strohmeyer Hankins

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- appellate procedure
- civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the appeal should be dismissed when the appellant fails to file an appellate brief and fails to respond to the court's directive requiring a brief or grounds for continuing the appeal.

HOLDINGS

1. The appeal was dismissed for want of prosecution and for failure to follow a directive of the clerk because Appellant filed neither an appellate brief nor a response showing grounds for continuing the appeal.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution and for the failure to follow a directive of the Clerk of this Court.” (Page 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion contains no substantive facts concerning the underlying dispute. Appellant did not file her appellate brief by the May 7, 2026 deadline, did not seek an extension, and did not respond to the clerk's later notice requiring a brief or a showing of grounds for continuing the appeal by June 4, 2026.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The appeal arose from the 249th District Court of Johnson County, Texas, trial court cause number DC-C202500486. The court of appeals notified Appellant that her brief was due May 7, 2026, and later warned that the appeal would be dismissed unless the brief or a response was filed by June 4, 2026. Neither was filed, so the court dismissed the appeal for want of prosecution and failure to comply with the clerk's directive.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Court of Appeals Tenth Appellate District of Texas 10-26-00033-CV Kim Pinckard, Appellant v. Melinda Strohmeyer Pace, Karen Strohmeyer Morgan, Anthony Kendrick Strohmeyer, Amy Ruth Strohmeyer Strazza, and Sara Ann Strohmeyer Hankins, Appellees On appeal from the 249th District Court of Johnson County, Texas Judge Tiffany Strother, presiding Trial Court Cause No. DC-C202500486 JUSTICE HARRIS delivered the opinion of the Court.

MEMORANDUM OPINION Appellant was notified by letter from the Clerk of this Court that the Appellant's Brief was due on or before May 7, 2026. The brief was not filed and no motion for extension was received. On May 14, 2026, Appellant was notified by letter from the Clerk of this

Court that the brief had not been filed and that the brief or a response showing grounds for continuing the appeal was filed on or before June 4, 2026, the appeal would be dismissed.

Neither the brief nor a response have been received by this Court. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution and for the failure to follow a directive of the Clerk of this Court. See TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(b), (c). LEE HARRIS Justice OPINION DELIVERED and FILED: June 18, 2026 Before Chief Justice Johnson, Justice Smith, and Justice Harris Appeal dismissed CV06 Pinckard v. Pace Page 2