

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIRST DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Ahmad Yazdchi and Mehdi Sadeghian v. Liberty County Mutual Insurance

Yazdchi v. Liberty County Mutual Insurance · No. 01-25-00104-CV · Decided December 23, 2025

SUMMARY

The First Court of Appeals of Texas dismissed Ahmad Yazdchi and Mehdi Sadeghian’s appeal for want of prosecution because they failed to file an appellate brief or respond to the court’s notice. The court denied any pending motions as moot.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals for the First District of Texas
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Justice Rivas-Molloy; Justice Guiney; Justice Morgan
JURISDICTION	Court of Appeals for the First District of Texas
DECIDED	December 23, 2025
DOCKET	01-25-00104-CV
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appellants appealed from the 215th District Court of Harris County, Texas, but failed to file an appellate brief after being notified that the brief was past due.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published memorandum opinion; precedential status is not otherwise specified in the opinion text.
PARTIES	Ahmad Yazdchi, Mehdi Sadeghian v. Liberty County Mutual Insurance

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- appellate procedure
- civil procedure
- insurance

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the appeal should be dismissed for want of prosecution because appellants failed to file an appellate brief and did not respond to the court's notice.

HOLDINGS

1. An appeal may be dismissed for want of prosecution when the appellants fail to file a required appellate brief and do not respond to notice allowing them an opportunity to cure the omission.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion contains no substantive underlying facts concerning the insurance dispute. The material procedural fact is that appellants, proceeding pro se, failed to file their appellate brief and did not respond to the court's notice or directive to seek an extension.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The appeal arose from the 215th District Court of Harris County in trial court cause number 2023-63837. The appellate clerk notified appellants that their brief was overdue and directed them to file the brief and a motion for extension within ten days. Appellants did not respond, and the court dismissed the appeal for want of prosecution.

DISPOSITION

dismissed