

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Deepa Dinesh Gaikwad v. Joseph B. Endlow

Gaikwad v. Endlow · No. 2:25-cv-02885-DAD-DMC · Decided November 19, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California denied as moot defendants’ motion to stay the action following restoration of federal appropriations. The court ordered defendants to respond to the complaint by January 11, 2026, granting them 60 days from the restoration of appropriations funding.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Dennis M. Cota
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	November 19, 2025
DOCKET	2:25-cv-02885-DAD-DMC
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff filed a civil action, and defendants moved to stay the case because of a lapse in federal appropriations.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished, nonprecedential district court order
PARTIES	Deepa Dinesh Gaikwad v. Joseph B. Endlow, et al.

TOPICS

- appropriations
- federal spending
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- federal appropriations
- federal spending

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether defendants' motion to stay the action because of a lapse in appropriations remained justiciable after appropriations funding was restored.

2. What deadline should govern defendants' response to the complaint following restoration of appropriations funding.

HOLDINGS

1. Defendants' motion to stay was moot because a continuing resolution had extended federal funding.
2. Defendants were granted 60 days from restoration of appropriations funding to respond to the complaint, with the response due on or before January 11, 2026.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the operative complaint on October 7, 2025. Defendants sought a stay and suspension of deadlines because of a lapse in appropriations. Congress enacted a continuing resolution extending funding on November 12, 2025.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff filed the operative complaint on October 7, 2025. Defendants moved on November 4, 2025, for a 60-day stay and suspension of deadlines. After Congress enacted a continuing resolution extending funding on November 12, 2025, the court denied the motion as moot but granted defendants 60 days from restoration of appropriations funding to respond; the court ordered a response deadline of January 11, 2026.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- U.S. v. 14.02 Acres of Land, 530 F.3d 883, 894 (9th Cir. 2008)