

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Deepa Dinesh Gaikwad v. Joseph B. Endlow

Gaikwad v. Endlow · No. 2:25-cv-02885-DAD-DMC · Decided November 19, 2025

OPINION

Edlow

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 DEEPA DINESH GAIKWAD, No. 2:25-cv-02885-DAD-DMC

12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER 14 JOSEPH B. ENDLOW, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, who is
proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. Plaintiff filed the 18 operative complaint on October 7,
2025. See ECF No. 1. On November 4, 2025, Defendants filed 19 a motion to stay the case in
light of the lapse in appropriations. See ECF No. 6. Defendants 20 requested 60 days from the
date appropriations are restored to respond to the complaint and for 21 suspension of all other
deadlines and appearances. See id. 22 On November 12, 2025, the government enacted a
continuing resolution that 23 extends funding.¹ See Congressional Bill H.R. 5371. As such,
Defendants' motion for stay is 24 moot. In light of the lapse of appropriations and the timeline of
this case, the undersigned will 25 grant Defendants 60 days from the date of restoration of
appropriations funding to respond to the 26 complaint. 27 1 The Court may take judicial notice
pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201 of matters of 28 public record. See U.S. v. 14.02 Acres
of Land, 530 F.3d 883, 894 (9th Cir. 2008).] Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 2 1.
Defendants' motion for stay, ECF No. 6, is DENIED AS MOOT; 3 2. Defendants shall respond to
the complaint on or before January 4 11, 2026. 5 6 | Dated: November 19, 2025 Svc

7 DENNIS M. COTA

8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28