

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Warn Industries, Inc. v. Agency 6 Inc.

Warn Industries · No. 2:22-cv-01358 WBS JDP · Decided March 8, 2023

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California denied Agency 6 Inc.'s motion to dismiss Warn Industries, Inc.'s patent infringement complaint. The court held that the asserted patent was directed to patent-eligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and that Warn Industries plausibly alleged direct, induced, contributory, and willful infringement.

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 ----oo0oo---- 11 12 WARN INDUSTRIES, INC., No. 2:22-cv-01358 WBS JDP
13 Plaintiff, 14 v. MEMORANDUM AND ORDER RE: DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO
DISMISS 15 AGENCY 6 INC., 16 Defendant. 17 18 ----oo0oo---- 19 Plaintiff Warn Industries,
Inc. brought this patent 20 infringement action against Agency 6 Inc. alleging induced 21
infringement, contributory infringement, and willful 22 infringement.

(Compl. (Docket No. 1).) Defendant now moves to 23 dismiss plaintiff’s complaint in its
entirety.1 (Mot. (Docket 24 No. 12).) 25 I. BACKGROUND 26 1 Defendant has requested that
the United States Patent 27 and Trade Office reconsider the grant of the ‘963 Patent under 35
U.S.C § 102 and 35 U.S.C § 103. (See Mot. at 4, fn. 4.) A 28 review under § 102 and § 103 does
not impact this motion.

1 Plaintiff is a Delaware corporation with its principal 2 place of business in Clackamas, Oregon.
(Compl. ¶ 2.) Defendant 3 Agency 6 is a California corporation with its principal place of 4
business in Roseville, California. (Id.) Plaintiff 5 manufactures, markets, and sells winches,
among other related 6 rigging accessories and shackles.2 (Id. ¶¶ 3, 32-36.)

7 On November 9, 2021, the United States Patent and 8 Trademark Office (“USPTO”) issued to
plaintiff Patent No. 9 11,167,963 (the “‘963 Patent”) entitled “Fairlead Systems for 10 Winch
Rope Interfaces and Recovery Rigging Mountable to a Winch 11 and Fairlead and/or Vehicle
Bumper.” (Id. ¶ 40.)

The ‘963 12 Patent “generally describes a shackle mount that is connectable 13 to a standard
recovery winch cable. The shackle mount includes a 14 shackle attachment tab that prevents
lateral movement of the 15 shackle mount along the shackle pin.” (Id. ¶ 59.)

The '963 Patent thus "protects the fairlead³ of a winch system from damage¹⁷ due to impact from the cable terminal." (Compl., Ex. A (the 18 "'963 Patent") at 19 (Docket No. 1-2).)⁴ 19 20 2 A "winch" refers to a type of mechanical device that is used to pull in or let out a rope or cable wound around a spool²¹ or drum. A "shackle" refers to a U-shaped piece of metal secured²² with a pin, bolt, or hinged loop.

A "winch shackle" is a device wherein a "winch" and a "shackle" are manufactured as one unit. 23 As plaintiff explains: "Winch shackles are attachment points for the end of winch cables, and provide a safer, stronger, and more 24 secure attachment point than traditional hooks often found at the end of winch cables." (Compl. ¶ 37.) 25 26 3 A "fairlead" is a device to guide a line, rope, or cable around an object, such as a spool or drum.

27 4 For simplicity, the court will cite to the ECF page 28 numbers when referencing Exhibit A, a copy of the '963 Patent. 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008 1009 1010 1011 1012 1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030 1031 1032 1033 1034 1035 1036 1037 1038 1039 1040 1041 1042 1043 1044 1045 1046 1047 1048 1049 1050 1051 1052 1053 1054 1055 1056 1057 1058 1059 1060 1061 1062 1063 1064 1065 1066 1067 1068 1069 1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1080 1081 1082 1083 1084 1085 1086 1087 1088 1089 1090 1091 1092 1093 1094 1095 1096 1097 1098 1099 1100 1101 1102 1103 1104 1105 1106 1107 1108 1109 1110 1111 1112 1113 1114 1115 1116 1117 1118 1119 1120 1121 1122 1123 1124 1125 1126 1127 1128 1129 1130 1131 1132 1133 1134 1135 1136 1137 1138 1139 1140 1141 1142 1143 1144 1145 1146 1147 1148 1149 1150 1151 1152 1153 1154 1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188 1189 1190 1191 1192 1193 1194 1195 1196 1197 1198 1199 1200 1201 1202 1203 1204 1205 1206 1207 1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221 1222 1223 1224 1225 1226 1227 1228 1229 1230 1231 1232 1233 1234 1235 1236 1237 1238 1239 1240 1241 1242 1243 1244 1245 1246 1247 1248 1249 1250 1251 1252 1253 1254 1255 1256 1257 1258 1259 1260 1261 1262 1263 1264 1265 1266 1267 1268 1269 1270 1271 1272 1273 1274 1275 1276 1277 1278 1279 1280 1281 1282 1283 1284 1285 1286 1287 1288 1289 1290 1291 1292 1293 1294 1295 1296 1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305 1306 1307 1308 1309 1310 1311 1312 1313 1314 1315 1316 1317 1318 1319 1320 1321 1322 1323 1324 1325 1326 1327 1328 1329 1330 1331 1332 1333 1334 1335 1336 1337 1338 1339 1340 1341 1342 1343 1344 1345 1346 1347 1348 1349 1350 1351 1352 1353 1354 1355 1356 1357 1358 1359 1360 1361 1362 1363 1364 1365 1366 1367 1368 1369 1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1380 1381 1382 1383 1384 1385 1386 1387 1388 1389 1390 1391 1392 1393 1394 1395 1396 1397 1398 1399 1400 1401 1402 1403 1404 1405 1406 1407 1408 1409 1410 1411 1412 1413 1414 1415 1416 1417 1418 1419 1420 1421 1422 1423 1424 1425 1426 1427 1428 1429 1430 1431 1432 1433 1434 1435 1436 1437 1438 1439 1440 1441 1442 1443 1444 1445 1446 1447 1448 1449 1450 1451 1452 1453 1454 1455 1456 1457 1458 1459 1460 1461 1462 1463 1464 1465 1466 1467 1468 1469 1470 1471 1472 1473 1474 1475 1476 1477 1478 1479 1480 1481 1482 1483 1484 1485 1486 1487 1488 1489 1490 1491 1492 1493 1494 1495 1496 1497 1498 1499 1500 1501 1502 1503 1504 1505 1506 1507 1508 1509 1510 1511 1512 1513 1514 1515 1516 1517 1518 1519 1520 1521 1522 1523 1524 1525 1526 1527 1528 1529 1530 1531 1532 1533 1534 1535 1536 1537 1538 1539 1540 1541 1542 1543 1544 1545 1546 1547 1548 1549 1550 1551 1552 1553 1554 1555 1556 1557 1558 1559 1560 1561 1562 1563 1564 1565 1566 1567 1568 1569 1570 1571 1572 1573 1574 1575 1576 1577 1578 1579 1580 1581 1582 1583 1584 1585 1586 1587 1588 1589 1590 1591 1592 1593 1594 1595 1596 1597 1598 1599 1600 1601 1602 1603 1604 1605 1606 1607 1608 1609 1610 1611 1612 1613 1614 1615 1616 1617 1618 1619 1620 1621 1622 1623 1624 1625 1626 1627 1628 1629 1630 1631 1632 1633 1634 1635 1636 1637 1638 1639 1640 1641 1642 1643 1644 1645 1646 1647 1648 1649 1650 1651 1652 1653 1654 1655 1656 1657 1658 1659 1660 1661 1662 1663 1664 1665 1666 1667 1668 1669 1670 1671 1672 1673 1674 1675 1676 1677 1678 1679 1680 1681 1682 1683 1684 1685 1686 1687 1688 1689 1690 1691 1692 1693 1694 1695 1696 1697 1698 1699 1700 1701 1702 1703 1704 1705 1706 1707 1708 1709 1710 1711 1712 1713 1714 1715 1716 1717 1718 1719 1720 1721 1722 1723 1724 1725 1726 1727 1728 1729 1730 1731 1732 1733 1734 1735 1736 1737 1738 1739 1740 1741 1742 1743 1744 1745 1746 1747 1748 1749 1750 1751 1752 1753 1754 1755 1756 1757 1758 1759 1760 1761 1762 1763 1764 1765 1766 1767 1768 1769 1770 1771 1772 1773 1774 1775 1776 1777 1778 1779 1780 1781 1782 1783 1784 1785 1786 1787 1788 1789 1790 1791 1792 1793 1794 1795 1796 1797 1798 1799 1800 1801 1802 1803 1804 1805 1806 1807 1808 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824 1825 1826 1827 1828 1829 1830 1831 1832 1833 1834 1835 1836 1837 1838 1839 1840 1841 1842 1843 1844 1845 1846 1847 1848 1849 1850 1851 1852 1853 1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862 1863 1864 1865 1866 1867 1868 1869 1870 1871 1872 1873 1874 1875 1876 1877 1878 1879 1880 1881 1882 1883 1884 1885 1886 1887 1888 1889 1890 1891 1892 1893 1894 1895 1896 1897 1898 1899 1900 1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913 1914 1915 1916 1917 1918 1919 1920 1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939 1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2050 2051 2052 2053 2054 2055 2056 2057 2058 2059 2060 2061 2062 2063 2064 2065 2066 2067 2068 2069 2070 2071 2072 2073 2074 2075 2076 2077 2078 2079 2080 2081 2082 2083 2084 2085 2086 2087 2088 2089 2090 2091 2092 2093 2094 2095 2096 2097 2098 2099 2100 2101 2102 2103 2104 2105 2106 2107 2108 2109 2110 2111 2112 2113 2114 2115 2116 2117 2118 2119 2120 2121 2122 2123 2124 2125 2126 2127 2128 2129 2130 2131 2132 2133 2134 2135 2136 2137 2138 2139 2140 2141 2142 2143 2144 2145 2146 2147 2148 2149 2150 2151 2152 2153 2154 2155 2156 2157 2158 2159 2160 2161 2162 2163 2164 2165 2166 2167 2168 2169 2170 2171 2172 2173 2174 2175 2176 2177 2178 2179 2180 2181 2182 2183 2184 2185 2186 2187 2188 2189 2190 2191 2192 2193 2194 2195 2196 2197 2198 2199 2200 2201 2202 2203 2204 2205 2206 2207 2208 2209 2210 2211 2212 2213 2214 2215 2216 2217 2218 2219 2220 2221 2222 2223 2224 2225 2226 2227 2228 2229 2230 2231 2232 2233 2234 2235 2236 2237 2238 2239 2240 2241 2242 2243 2244 2245 2246 2247 2248 2249 2250 2251 2252 2253 2254 2255 2256 2257 2258 2259 2260 2261 2262 2263 2264 2265 2266 2267 2268 2269 2270 2271 2272 2273 2274 2275 2276 2277 2278 2279 2280 2281 2282 2283 2284 2285 2286 2287 2288 2289 2290 2291 2292 2293 2294 2295 2296 2297 2298 2299 2300 2301 2302 2303 2304 2305 2306 2307 2308 2309 2310 2311 2312 2313 2314 2315 2316 2317 2318 2319 2320 2321 2322 2323 2324 2325 2326 2327 2328 2329 2330 2331 2332 2333 2334 2335 2336 2337 2338 2339 2340 2341 2342 2343 2344 2345 2346 2347 2348 2349 2350 2351 2352 2353 2354 2355 2356 2357 2358 2359 2360 2361 2362 2363 2364 2365 2366 2367 2368 2369 2370 2371 2372 2373 2374 2375 2376 2377 2378 2379 2380 2381 2382 2383 2384 2385 2386 2387 2388 2389 2390 2391 2392 2393 2394 2395 2396 2397 2398 2399 2400 2401 2402 2403 2404 2405 2406 2407 2408 2409 2410 2411 2412 2413 2414 2415 2416 2417 2418 2419 2420 2421 2422 2423 2424 2425 2426 2427 2428 2429 2430 2431 2432 2433 2434 2435 2436 2437 2438 2439 2440 2441 2442 2443 2444 2445 2446 2447 2448 2449 2450 2451 2452 2453 2454 2455 2456 2457 2458 2459 2460 2461 2462 2463 2464 2465 2466 2467 2468 2469 2470 2471 2472 2473 2474 2475 2476 2477 2478 2479 2480 2481 2482 2483 2484 2485 2486 2487 2488 2489 2490 2491 2492 2493 2494 2495 2496 2497 2498 2499 2500 2501 2502 2503 2504 2505 2506 2507 2508 2509 2510 2511 2512 2513 2514 2515 2516 2517 2518 2519 2520 2521 2522 2523 2524 2525 2526 2527 2528 2529 2530 2531 2532 2533 2534 2535 2536 2537 2538 2539 2540 2541 2542 2543 2544 2545 2546 2547 2548 2549 2550 2551 2552 2553 2554 2555 2556 2557 2558 2559 2560 2561 2562 2563 2564 2565 2566 2567 2568 2569 2570 2571 2572 2573 2574 2575 2576 2577 2578 2579 2580 2581 2582 2583 2584 2585 2586 2587 2588 2589 2590 2591 2592 2593 2594 2595 2596 2597 2598 2599 2600 2601 2602 2603 2604 2605 2606 2607 2608 2609 2610 2611 2612 2613 2614 2615 2616 2617 2618 2619 2620 2621 2622 2623 2624 2625 2626 2627 2628 2629 2630 2631

and United States Patent Publication No. 2019/0127190 14 (the “‘190 Publication), which issued as the ‘963 Patent the 15 | following year.® (Compl. @ 51.)

The letter states: “[T]he ‘190 16 | Publication is directed toward similar rigging shackles.... 17 While the claims of the ‘190 Publication have yet to be granted, 18 the embodiments disclosed therein nonetheless appear to be very 19 | similar to [the Accused Product].” (Id. 7 52.) 20 In January 2021, defendant informed plaintiff that it 21 |] was redesigning the Accused Product.

(Mot. at 5.) The following 22 7 For example, to illustrate that the Accused Product 23 includes “a first surface arranged on an inner side of the rigger interface” and “the inner side including two side portions spaced 24 apart from one another in vertical direction,” plaintiff labels two images of the Accused Product with the labels “first surface 29 on inner side,” “two side portions,” and “vertical direction.” 26 (Claim Chart at 2.)

27 8 The ‘190 Publication was filed on November 1, 2017. The resulting ‘963 Patent issued on November 9, 2021, 28 approximately a year after plaintiff’s cease and desist letter. 1 month, defendant provided plaintiff with a drawing of the new 2 version of the product. (Id.) Plaintiff alleges that defendant 3 altered the functionality of its products to avoid infringement 4 of the ‘025 Patent but did not make any alterations to avoid 5 infringement of the ‘963 Patent.

(Compl. ¶¶ 55-56.) Plaintiff 6 also alleges defendant sells the Accused Product on defendant’s 7 website as well as third-party platforms like Amazon. (Id. ¶¶ 8 49-50.) 9 II. LEGAL STANDARD 10 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) allows for 11 dismissal when the plaintiff’s complaint fails to state a claim 12 upon which relief can be granted. See Fed. R. Civ.

P. 12(b)(6). 13 “A Rule 12(b)(6) motion tests the legal sufficiency of a claim.” 14 Navarro v. Block, 250 F.3d 729, 732 (9th Cir. 2001). The inquiry 15 before the court is whether, accepting the allegations in the 16 complaint as true and drawing all reasonable inferences in the 17 plaintiff’s favor, the complaint has alleged “sufficient facts 18... to support a cognizable legal theory,” id., and thereby 19 stated “a claim to relief that is plausible on its face,” Bell 20 Atl.

Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). In deciding 21 such a motion, all material allegations of the complaint are 22 accepted as true, as well as all reasonable inferences to be 23 drawn from them. Id. 24 “[P]atent eligibility can be determined at the Rule 25 12(b)(6) stage.” Aatrix Software, Inc. v. Green Shades Software, 26 Inc., 882 F.3d 1121, 1125 (Fed.

Cir. 2018) (citations omitted). 27 Pursuant to statute, “[a] patent shall be presumed valid.” 35 28 U.S.C. § 282. Accordingly, a defendant’s “burden in seeking to 1 dismiss plaintiff’s claims based on patent ineligibility is high 2 because of the [p]atent’s presumptive validity.” Boar’s Head 3 Corp. v. DirectApps, Inc., No. 2:14-cv-01927 KJM KJN, 2015 WL 4 4530596, at *3 (E.D.

Cal. July 28, 2015) (citation omitted). 5 “Additionally, in applying § 101 jurisprudence at the pleading 6 stage, the [c]ourt construes patent claims in a manner most 7 favorable to [p]laintiff.” *Vineyard Investigations v. E. & J. 8 Gallo Winery*, 510 F. Supp. 3d 926, 934 (E.D. Cal. 2021) (Drozd, 9 J.) (citation omitted). 10 III. DISCUSSION 11 A. Claim Construction 12 As a preliminary matter, the court must first address 13 whether claim construction is required at this stage in the 14 proceedings.

Claim construction is not required to resolve a 15 motion based on § 101 eligibility. See e.g., *Content Extraction 16 & Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, Nat. Ass’n*, 776 F.3d 17 1343, 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2014) (“Although the determination of 18 patent eligibility requires a full understanding of the basic 19 character of the claimed subject matter, claim construction is 20 not an inviolable prerequisite to a validity determination under 21 § 101.”) (citing *Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC*, 772 F.3d 709, 22 714-15 (Fed.

Cir. 2014); *Bancorp Servs., LLC v. Sun Life 23 Assurance Co. of Canada (U.S.)*, 687 F.3d 1266, 1273-74 (Fed. Cir. 24 2012)). 25 If claim construction disputes exist at the 12(b)(6) 26 stage, as they do here, a court may either “proceed by adopting 27 the non-moving party’s constructions” or “resolve the disputes to 28 whatever extent is needed to conduct the § 101 analysis, which 1 may well be less than a full, formal claim construction.” *Aatrix 2 Software*, 882 F.3d at 1125.

Accordingly, the court concludes 3 that a separate claim construction hearing is unnecessary to 4 decide present the motion. 5 B. Patent Eligibility 6 Section 101 of the Patent Act defines patent-eligible 7 subject matter as “any new and useful process, machine, 8 manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful 9 improvement thereof.” 35 U.S.C. § 101. “[Section] 101 10 specifically excludes from patent eligibility laws of nature, 11 natural phenomena, and abstract ideas.” *Vineyard Investigations*, 12 510 F. Supp. 3d at 934 (citing *Ass’n for Molecular Pathology v. 13 Myriad Genetics, Inc.*, 569 U.S. 576, 589 (2013)).

However, the 14 Supreme Court has recognized that “all inventions at some level 15 embody, use, reflect, rest upon, or apply... abstract ideas.” 16 *Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Lab’s, Inc.*, 566 U.S. 17 66, 71 (2012).

Thus, inventions which apply an abstract concept 18 “to a new and useful end” remain eligible for patent protection. 19 *Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int’l*, 573 U.S. 208, 217 (2014) 20 (citations and quotations omitted). 21 In *Alice*, 573 U.S. 208 (2014), the Supreme Court 22 established a two-part test to determine whether claims are 23 patent eligible under § 101.

First, a court must determine 24 whether the claims at issue are “directed at an abstract idea” or 25 other patent-ineligible concepts. *Id.* at 217. Second, if a 26 claim is directed at a patent-ineligible concept, a court 27 considers whether the claim “contains an inventive concept 28 sufficient to transform the claimed abstract idea into a patent- 1 eligible application.” *Id.* at 221 (citations and

quotations 2 omitted). “If the claims are not directed to an abstract idea, 3 the inquiry ends.” *McRO, Inc. v. Bandai Namco Games Am.*

Inc., 4 837 F.3d 1299, 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2016). 5 Plaintiff argues that the ‘963 Patent’s claims are 6 directed to statutorily eligible subject matter -- “machines: 7 rigging interfaces with various well-defined surfaces and other 8 components” -- and thus falls within an enumerated category of § 9 101. (Opp’n at 6-7); see *Ultramercial*, 772 F.3d at 713-14 (“A § 10 101 analysis begins by identifying whether an invention fits 11 within one of the four statutorily provided categories of patent- 12 eligible subject matter.”).

13 “The Supreme Court has defined ‘machine’ as ‘a concrete 14 thing, consisting of parts, or of certain devices and combination 15 of devices.’” *In re Nuijten*, 500 F.3d 1346, 1355 (Fed. Cir. 16 2007) (quoting *Burr v. Duryee*, 68 U.S. 531, 570 (1863)) (finding 17 a “signal” is not a “machine” under § 101 because it “does not 18 possess concrete structure...”). “[M]achines, which are 19 tangible... should not be considered to be abstract ideas.” 20 *Ariosa Diagnostics, Inc. v. Sequenom, Inc.*, 809 F.3d 1282, 1285 21 (Fed.

Cir. 2015) (Dyk, J., concurring); cf. *Digitech Image Techs., 22 LLC v. Elecs. for Imaging, Inc.*, 758 F.3d 1344, 1349 (Fed. Cir. 23 2014) (finding patent with claims directed at a digital 24 processing system “[wa]s not a tangible or physical thing and 25 d[id] not fall within any of the categories of eligible subject 26 matter”). 27 Plaintiff relies on *Junk Food Custom Arcades, LLC v. 28 Hit Box, LLC*, 2022 WL 17920364, No. 2:21-cv-262 RWS (N.D.

Ga. 1 Oct. 18, 2022), for the proposition that claims directed to 2 “surfaces” may constitute a machine under § 101. (See Opp’n at 3 8-11.) There, one patent “claim[ed] an allegedly novel hand 4 operated game controller which utilizes an all-push-button 5 surface with an allegedly novel button arrangement.” *Junk Food*, 6 2022 WL 17920364, at *1.

The court found that patent was not 7 “directed at an abstract idea” under Alice’s step one for two 8 reasons. First, the patent specified “tangible boundary lines, 9 function buttons, and movement buttons located in specific 10 locations and orders relative to each other that are intended” to 11 improve the device. *Id.* at *5.

Second, the patent “claim[ed] 12 tangible forms, including tangible and novel arrangement[s] and 13 placement of buttons.” *Id.* 14 In adopting plaintiff’s claim constructions, as the 15 court must at the 12(b)(6) stage, see *Aatrix*, 882 F.3d at 1125, 16 the ‘963 Patent is “a concrete thing” comprised of multiple 17 surfaces and extensions which “protect[] the fairlead of a winch 18 system from damage....” (‘963 Patent at 19.)

Specifically, 19 Claim 1 explains that the invention claimed comprises “a first 20 surface arranged on an inner side of the rigging interface... 21 wherein the two side portions of the inner side are

configured to 22 nest against a front of a winch fairlead.” (Id.) Like in *Junk Food*, Claim 1 specifies a tangible form and tangible boundary 24 lines. *Junk Food*, 2022 WL 17920364, at *5.

25 Defendant attempts to advance arguments that the ‘963 26 Patent is not patent-eligible because of plaintiff’s word choice 27 28 1 in the claims, such as “interface,” “extension,” and “surfaces.”⁹ 2 (Mot. at 12-13.)

However, parsing the definitions of words in 3 isolation is not the appropriate task for the court at this stage 4 in the proceedings. At Alice step one, the court must “look[] at 5 the ‘focus’ of the claims, their ‘character as a whole.’” *Elec. 6 Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A.*, 830 F.3d 1350, 1353 (Fed. Cir. 7 2016).

Moreover, at the 12(b)(6) stage, “the [c]ourt construes 8 patent claims in a manner most favorable to [p]laintiff.” 9 *Vineyard Investigations*, 510 F. Supp. 3d at 934. Thus, any 10 factual disputes must be resolved by assuming the construction 11 most favorable to plaintiff. See *Boar’s Head*, 2015 WL 4530596, 12 at *7 (citations omitted). 13 Accordingly, plaintiff has sufficiently plead that the 14 ‘963 Patent is directed at patent-eligible subject matter under 15 step one of Alice because it is tangible and possesses a concrete 16 structure.

The court therefore need not progress to step two of 17 Alice. 18 B. Patent Infringement 19 Within the the Complaint’s claim for patent 20 infringement of the ‘963 Patent, plaintiff pleads that defendant 21 is liable for inducement, contributory infringement, and willful 22 infringement. (Compl. ¶¶ 62-72.) 23 1. Direct Infringement 24 To support a claim for inducement or contributory 25 infringement, there must be an underlying act of direct 26 9 For example, defendant posits that “a surface, like a 27 side, is a concept or mathematical relationship between locations in space, rather than a physical device” and therefore is patent- 28 ineligible subject matter.

(Mot. at 5.) 1 infringement.¹⁰ See *In re Bill of Lading Transmission and 2 Processing Sys. Patent Litig.*, 681 F.3d 1323, 1333 (Fed. Cir. 3 2012). “[T]o prove direct infringement, a patentee must either 4 point to specific instances of direct infringement or show that 5 the accused device necessarily infringes the patent in suit.” 6 *ACCO Brands, Inc. v. ABA Locks Mfrs.*

Co., 501 F.3d 1307, 1313 7 (Fed. Cir. 2007). Where “the accused device can be used at any 8 given time in a noninfringing manner, the accused device does not 9 necessarily infringe the [] patent.” *Id.* (finding no direct 10 infringement where the patentee “failed to point to specific 11 instances of direct infringement” and the device had 12 noninfringing uses).

13 As the court detailed above when describing plaintiff’s 14 Claim Chart, plaintiff alleges that the Accused Product conforms 15 with every specification of Claim 1. (See Ex. D.) Thus, the 16 Accused Product “necessarily infringes the patent in suit.” *ACCO 17 Brands*, 501 F.3d at 1313.

Accordingly, plaintiff has sufficiently plead direct infringement of the '963 Patent. 2. Inducement 20 Under section § 271(b) of the Patent Act, "[w]hoever 21 actively induces infringement of a patent shall be liable as an 22 infringer." 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). A patent holder "must prove 23 that once the defendant[] knew of the patent, they actively and 24 knowingly aid[ed] and abett[ed] another's direct infringement." 25 DSU Med.

Corp. v. JMS Co., 471 F.3d 1293, 1305 (Fed. Cir. 2006) 26 10 Section 271(a) provides: "[W]hoever without authority 27 makes, uses, offers to sell, or sells any patented invention... during the term of the patent therefor, infringes the patent." 28 35 U.S.C. § 271(a). 1 (citation and quotations omitted); see also id. at 1306 ("While 2 proof of intent is necessary, direct evidence is not required; 3 rather, circumstantial evidence may suffice.") (citation and 4 quotation omitted).

5 Plaintiff argues defendant induced infringement of at 6 least Claim 1 "by selling the Accused Products with instructions 7 as to how to use the Accused Products in a system such as that 8 recited in the '963 Patent." (Compl. ¶ 63.)

Moreover, plaintiff 9 contends that defendant knew of the specifications of the '190 10 Publication (which later issued as the '963 Patent) as early as 11 October 20, 2020, when it sent defendant the cease and desist 12 letter. (Id.) 13 At this stage, plaintiff's allegations that defendant 14 knew of the patent, was put on notice by plaintiff of possible 15 infringement, and actively sold the Accused Product to be used in 16 an infringing manner are sufficient.

See Google LLC v. Princeps 17 Interface Techs. LLC, No. 19-cv-06566 EMC, 2020 WL 1478352, at *4 18 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 26, 2020) ("[A] patentee need only cite examples 19 of where the accused infringer advertised benefits that can be 20 achieved only through use of the asserted patent to plausibly 21 plead specific intent under 12(b)(6).") (citation and quotation 22 omitted).

Accordingly, plaintiff has sufficiently plead induced 23 infringement of the '963 Patent. 24 2. Contributory Infringement 25 "Contributory infringement requires knowledge of the 26 patent in suit and knowledge of patent infringement." Commil 27 USA, 575 U.S. at 639; see 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

To state a claim 28 for contributory infringement, a plaintiff must "plead facts that 1 allow an inference that the components sold or offered for sale 2 have no substantial non-infringing uses." In re Bill, 681 F.3d 3 at 1337-38 ("[T]he inquiry focuses on whether the accused 4 products can be used for purposes other than infringement.") 5 (emphasis omitted). "In the context of a claim of contributory 6 infringement under § 271(c), a substantial non-infringing use is 7 any use that is 'not unusual, far-fetched, illusory, impractical, 8 occasional, aberrant, or experimental.'" Id. at 1337 (quoting 9 Vita-Mix Corp. v. Basic Holdings, Inc., 581 F.3d 1317, 1327-29 10 (Fed.

Cir. 2009)). "Where the product is equally capable of, and 11 interchangeably capable of both infringing and substantial non- 12 infringing uses, a claim for contributory infringement does not

13 lie.” Id. at 1338. 14 Defendant argues that plaintiff does not provide facts 15 sufficient to show the Accused Product has no substantial non- 16 infringing uses. (See Mot. at 21.)

Defendant also contends that 17 that plaintiff’s Claim Chart in fact shows a substantial non- 18 infringing use by demonstrating the Accused Product being used 19 with a “rope” as opposed to a “cable.”¹¹ (Id. at 18-29, 21.)

The 20 court is not persuaded. First, defendant’s arguments are merely 21 conclusory. Second, even if relevant to subsequent claim 22 construction of the ‘963 Patent, the court will not distinguish 23 between the use of word “cable” and “rope” at the 12(b)(6) stage. 24 As already discussed, the Claim Chart illustrates that 25 26 11 Defendant explains: “In light of the distinction made by [plaintiff] between cables and ropes in the ‘963 [P]atent, 27 [plaintiff’s] depiction of a rope in its claim chart does not plausibly satisfy the ‘cable’ limitation of [C]laim 1.” (Mot. at 28 19.)

1 the Accused Product satisfies every specification of Claim 1. 2 Plaintiff alleges that anyone who uses the Accused Product 3 “directly infringes Claim 1 of the ‘963 Patent.” (Compl. ¶ 64.) 4 The court therefore finds plaintiff has plausibly alleged that 5 the Accused Product has no substantial non-infringing uses. 6 Accordingly, plaintiff has sufficiently plead contributory 7 infringement of the ‘963 Patent.

8 3. Willful Infringement 9 Section 284 of the Patent Act states that in the case 10 of infringement, “the court may increase the damages up to three 11 times the amount found or assessed.” 35 U.S.C. § 284. “While 12 ‘there is no precise rule or formula’ for meeting out enhanced 13 damages, it is ‘generally reserved for egregious cases of 14 culpable behavior.’” *Sonos, Inc. v. Google LLC*, 591 F. Supp. 3d 15 638, 642 (N.D.

Cal. 2022) (quoting *Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse 16 Elecs., Inc.*, 579 U.S. 93, 103-04 (2016)). The Supreme Court 17 describes such behavior as “willful, wanton, malicious, bad- 18 faith, deliberate, consciously wrongful, flagrant, or--indeed-- 19 characteristic of a pirate.” *Halo*, 579 U.S. at 104; see also *SRI 20 Int’l, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.*, 930 F.3d 1295, 1308 (Fed.

Cir. 21 2019) (“[It] is the circumstances that transform simple 22 ‘intentional or knowing’ infringement into egregious, 23 sanctionable behavior, and that makes all the difference.”) 24 (citation omitted). 25 To establish willful infringement the patentee must 26 first prove knowledge of the patent and “show the accused 27 infringer had a specific intent to infringe at the time of the 28 challenged conduct.” *Sonos*, 591 F. Supp. 3d at 644 (citing *WBIP, 1 LLC v. Kohler Co.*, 829 F.3d 1317, 1341 (Fed.

Cir. 2016); *Bayer 2 Healthcare LLC v. Baxalta Inc.*, 989 F.3d 964, 987-88 (Fed. Cir. 3 2012)) (additional citations and quotations omitted). “[O]nce 4 willfulness is adequately pled, the complaint need not go further 5 and specify the further aggravating circumstances warranting 6

enhanced damages.”¹² Id. at 644 (emphasis omitted). ⁷ Here, plaintiff alleges that defendant was aware of the ⁸ pre-publication grant of the ‘963 Patent by at least May 2, 2019.

⁹ (See Compl. ¶ 69.) Plaintiff further alleges that defendant was ¹⁰ put on notice of possible infringement of the ‘963 Patent (then ¹¹ the ‘190 Publication) when plaintiff sent the cease and desist ¹² letter on October 22, 2020. (Id. ¶¶ 51-54.)

Moreover, plaintiff ¹³ alleges that defendant altered the Accused Product to avoid ¹⁴ infringement of the ‘025 Patent but did not make any alterations ¹⁵ to avoid infringement of the ‘963 Patent. (Id. ¶¶ 55-56.) ¹⁶ Finally, plaintiff alleges that defendant continues to market and ¹⁷ sell the Accused Product. (Id. ¶¶ 47-50.) ¹⁸ In drawing all reasonable inferences in plaintiff’s ¹⁹ favor as the court must at this stage, see *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 20 570, on this record plaintiff has sufficiently alleged that ²¹ defendant had knowledge of the ‘963 Patent and specific intent to ²² infringe by selling the Accused Product.

See *Sonos*, 591 F. Supp. 23 3d at 644-45 (“The full extent of egregious behavior is... ²⁴ unknown at the pleading stage. It would be unreasonable to ²⁵ ¹² In *Sonos*, the district court highlighted the difference between a party pleading willful infringement and the court ²⁶ awarding damages after a party proved infringement. *Sonos*, 591 F. Supp. 3d at 645.

As that court explained: “It would be a rare ²⁷ case where the infringer disclosed the full extent of its egregious behavior in advance of litigation, such that the ²⁸ conduct could be fully described in the complaint....” Id. eee EN EE EN RN IRI EO EE ¹ expect patent plaintiffs to be in a position to plead the full ² extent of egregious misconduct...”) Accordingly, plaintiff ³ | has sufficiently plead willful infringement of the ‘963 Patent.

⁴ The court thus finds that the ‘963 Patent is directed ⁵ toward patent-eligible subject matter under § 101. Further, the ⁶ court finds that plaintiff has sufficiently alleged induced ⁷ infringement, contributory infringement, and willful infringement ⁸ of the ‘963 Patent. ⁹ IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant’s motion to ¹⁰ dismiss (Docket No. 12) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

¹¹ Dated: March 8, 2023 tet., ak 4 / / ¹² WILLIAM B. SHUBB ¹³ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE ¹⁴ ¹⁵ ¹⁶ ¹⁷ ¹⁸ ¹⁹ ²⁰ ²¹ ²² ²³ ²⁴ ²⁵ ²⁶ ²⁷ ²⁸ ¹⁶